

Leicestershire Partnership NHS Trust

Demographic Profile of Service Users

April 2017 to March 2018

A quantitative equality analysis of the demographic profile of
service users and their access to services

REDACTED FOR PUBLICATION

Table of Contents

1 Introduction..... 1

1.1 A note on the anonymisation of information about service users within this report.....2

2 Summary of findings.....3

3 The demographic profile of LPT’s service users4

3.1 The age and gender profiles of LPT’s service users4

3.2 The ethnicity profile of LPT’s service users.....9

3.3 The disability, religion and belief, and sexual orientation profiles of LPT’s service users 14

3.4 Service in the Armed Forces amongst LPT’s service users 14

3.5 Broad disorder types and Health of Nation Outcome Scores amongst users of adult mental health services..... 14

4 Appendix of analyses 15

4.1 Demographic profile of service users..... 15

4.1.1 Local population and service use, overall and by service area 16

4.1.2 Service use in the All Age Liaison Service.....22

4.1.3 Service use in Adult Mental Health and Learning Disabilities analysed by service line24

4.1.4 Service use in Community and Health Services analysed by service line28

4.1.5 Service use in Families, Young Person and Children’s services analysed by service line32

4.2 Disorder types and Health of Nation Outcome Scores amongst users of adult mental health services.....36

4.2.1 Disorder type HoNOS cluster38

4.2.2 Health of Nation Outcome Scores43

1 Introduction

The Equality Act (2010) describes a 'public sector equality duty' (section 149). The 'public sector equality duty' applies to listed public authorities (including NHS Trusts) and others who exercise public functions.

149 Public sector equality duty:

- (1) A public authority must, in the exercise of its functions, have due regard to the need to—
 - (a) eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation and any other conduct that is prohibited by or under this Act;
 - (b) advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it;
 - (c) foster good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it.
- (2) A person who is not a public authority but who exercises public functions must, in the exercise of those functions, have due regard to the matters mentioned in subsection (1).
- (3) Having due regard to the need to advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it involves having due regard, in particular, to the need to—
 - (a) remove or minimise disadvantages suffered by persons who share a relevant protected characteristic that are connected to that characteristic;
 - (b) take steps to meet the needs of persons who share a relevant protected characteristic that are different from the needs of persons who do not share it;
 - (c) encourage persons who share a relevant protected characteristic to participate in public life or in any other activity in which participation by such persons is disproportionately low.

The public sector equality duty covers people across nine protected characteristics: age; disability; gender reassignment; marriage and civil partnership*; pregnancy and maternity; race; religion or belief; sex; sexual orientation. (*Marriage or civil partnership status is only covered by the first aim of the public sector equality duty, to have due regard to the need to eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation and any other conduct that is prohibited by or under the Act.)

Listed public authorities must publish information to demonstrate compliance with the duty imposed by section 149(1) of the Act, at least annually. The information that a listed public authority publishes in compliance with paragraph (1) must include, in particular, information relating to persons who share a relevant protected characteristic who are—

- (a) its employees;
- (b) other persons affected by its policies and practices.

Although, only listed public authorities with 150 or more employees need publish information on their workforce.

Regarding other persons affected by its policies and practices, the types of information that listed authorities could publish to demonstrate compliance include¹:

- Records kept of how it has had due regard in making decisions, including any analysis undertaken and the evidence used.
- Relevant performance information, especially those relating to outcomes, for example information about levels of educational attainment for boys and girls, health outcomes for people from different ethnic minorities, and reported incidences of disability-related harassment.
- Access to and satisfaction with services, including complaints.
- Any quantitative and qualitative research undertaken, for example patient surveys and focus groups.
- Details of, and feedback from, any engagement exercises.

The present report considers Leicestershire Partnership NHS Trust's Service Users with respect to observed and expected levels of access to services. Also considered are Health of Nation Outcome Scores for mental health service users, to give an indication regarding how the type and severity of mental health problems vary by demographic group amongst service users.

The present analyses prioritise three of the nine protected characteristics: age, gender, and ethnicity which are associated strongly with health inequalities^{2,3}. The number of people accessing services within LPT's All Age Liaison service, Adult Mental Health and Learning Disability services, Community Health Services, and Families, Young People and Children's service areas were analysed and compared against levels of representation in the local population, in compartmentalised analyses by ethnicity, age band, and gender. The aim of the analyses was to assess equity in service use, based on the degree of service use that would be expected given the levels of each demographic group's representation in the local population (period: April 2017 to March 2018).

1.1 A note on the anonymisation of information about service users within this report

This version of the report has been redacted and edited to allow publication on a publically accessible website. The report contains counts of numbers of service users, analysed in several tables, by their protected characteristics (e.g., age group, gender, ethnicity). The use of these tables to produce aggregated summaries of service user counts has the effect of anonymising much of the information and protecting the identities of individual service users. However, some analyses contain very small counts of service users in some protected characteristic groups, especially when broken down by certain domains of interest. Such small counts could, potentially, be used to identify individual service users, even after aggregation. Consequently, these small counts might be considered personal data and "special category" personal data that are protected by the General Data Protection Regulations (Data Protection Act 2018) and other legislation. Where there is a risk that individuals could be identified from a small count, these counts have been redacted from the tables. Where the redacted count can be deduced from other counts in a table, these other counts have been redacted as well. In the present report, as a start point for the anonymisation process, counts below 10 have been redacted to mitigate the risk that individuals might be identifiable. The anonymisation process has followed guidance issued by the Information Commissioner's Office⁴. In the tables of analysis throughout this report, the letter "R" is used to indicate a redacted number.

¹ This guidance is taken from the technical guidance published by the Equality and Human Rights Commission: Equality Act 2010 Technical Guidance on the Public Sector Equality Duty England (August 2014), page 69

² The Marmot Review (2010) Fair Society, Healthy Lives: strategic review of health inequalities in England post-2010. London: The Marmot Review

³ Commission on Social Determinants of Health (2008) Closing the gap in a generation: Health equity through action on the social determinants of health. Geneva: World Health Organization

⁴ Information Commissioner's Office: Anonymisation: managing data protection risk code of practice (November 2012)

2 Summary of findings

- In 17/18, compared to their levels of representation in the age-matched local population:
 - overall, LPT had higher than expected proportions of BME people amongst its service users; however, specific ethnic groups were underrepresented in some areas (detailed demographic breakdowns by service line are given in the Appendix of analyses);
 - young Chinese adults were underrepresented in all service areas;
 - young Asian British Indian adults were underrepresented in AMH/LD and the All Age Liaison Service;
 - young women from various BME groups (Asian British Indian, Black British African, Chinese) were underrepresented in AMH/LD and CHS;
 - Black British African children and young Black British African adults were underrepresented in FYPC.
 - The underrepresentation of some ethnic groups in some areas may indicate difficulties in gaining referral, barriers to accessing services, an unwillingness to seek treatment, or a lack of knowledge about the services available.
 - Regarding the underrepresentation of young Asian British Indian adults in AMH/LD, it is noted that a proportion of working age adults may have accessed mental health services through the Leicester City Increasing Access to Psychological Therapies (IAPT) service – a relatively high proportion of whom may have been young, Asian British people given the focus of this service on Leicester City residents. The Leicester City IAPT service is operated by Nottinghamshire Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust; consequently, figures related to these service users will not be included in LPT's service user profile.
 - Amongst users of adult mental health services, the problems faced by service users (based on Health of Nation Outcome Scores, HoNOS) varied in type, prevalence, and severity by demographic group. For instance, BME people (especially Asian British and Black British people) were more likely to suffer with a psychotic disorder, with severe difficulties in terms of problems associated with hallucinations and delusions, engagement (historical), and vulnerability (historical). (Detailed breakdowns of the prevalence of problems in the HoNOS domains amongst users of adult mental health services, by demographic group, are given in the Appendix of analyses.)
- Information on ethnicity was not held for 24.8% of service users across LPT; consequently, the present findings regarding ethnicity may be unreliable.
- LPT collects information on disability, religion or belief, and sexual orientation about its service users. However this information was not known for the vast majority of service users (in excess of 97%) during the 17/18 financial year.


3 The demographic profile of LPT’s service users

Leicestershire Partnership NHS Trust (LPT) provides mental health, learning disability and community health services to the diverse population of Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland. Services are provided to boys, girls, men, and women, of many ethnic groups and across a wide range of ages from those in their first year of life to those aged 90 and above. In the 2017/18 financial year, LPT provided services to 315,609 individuals (23,953 in AMH/LD, 112,637 in CHS, 196,428 in FYPC, and 5340 in the All Age Liaison service⁵).

3.1 The age and gender profiles of LPT’s service users

In terms of age and gender, in comparison with the local population, LPT’s service users were weighted towards children (aged 16 years old and under), young women (in their late 20’s and early 30’s), and older people (those in their 70’s and over) (Figure 1, Table 1).

Figure 1: Age and Gender profile of LPT’s service users compared to the local population




LLR: Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland (Office for National Statistics, 2017 mid-year estimate)
 LPT: Leicestershire Partnership NHS Trust (headcount of service users 2017/18)

⁵ These figures when summed will exceed the total of 315,609 as some individuals used services in more than one service area.

There were marked variations in service use by age and gender across service areas:

- Service users of the All Age Liaison (AAL) service were more likely to be teenage girls and young women, and to a lesser extent, teenage boys and young men (Figure 2, Table 1). (Variations in age profile by individual service line within the AAL service are detailed for all service users in Table 7, for females in Table 8, and for males in Table 9.)


Figure 2: Age and Gender profile of AAL’s service users compared to the local population



LLR: Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland (Office for National Statistics, 2017 mid-year estimate)
 AAL: All Age Liaison service (headcount of service users 2017/18)

- AMH/LD’s service users were more likely to be adults of working age (Figure 3, Table 1). (Variations in age profile by individual service line within AMH/LD are detailed for all service users in Table 11, for females in Table 12, and for males in Table 13.)


Figure 3: Age and Gender profile of AMH/LD’s service users compared to the local population



LLR: Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland (Office for National Statistics, 2017 mid-year estimate)
 AMH/LD: Adult Mental Health and Learning Disability services (headcount of service users 2017/18)

- CHS's service users were more likely to be older adults (in their late 50's and over), with increasingly higher proportions of women at greater ages (Figure 4, Table 1). (Variations in age profile by individual service line within CHS are detailed for all service users in Table 15, for females in Table 16, and for males in Table 17.)


Figure 4: Age and Gender profile of CHS' service users compared to the local population



LLR: Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland (Office for National Statistics, 2017 mid-year estimate)
 CHS: Community Health Services (headcount of service users 2017/18)

- FYPC’s service users were more likely to be children and young people (aged 20 years old and under), or women in their late 20’s to late 30’s (Figure 5, Table 1). (Variations in age profile by individual service line are detailed for all service users within FYPC in Table 19, for females in Table 20, and for males in Table 21.)

Figure 5: Age and Gender profile of FYPC’s service users compared to the local population




LLR: Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland (Office for National Statistics, 2017 mid-year estimate)
 FYPC: Families, Young People and Children’s Services (headcount of service users 2017/18)

3.2 The ethnicity profile of LPT's service users

In terms of ethnicity, in comparison to the local population benchmarks, LPT had generally higher proportions than expected of service users from BME groups (Figure 6, Table 2).

Figure 6: Ethnicity profile of LPT's service users by age band and gender, compared to the local population




LLR: Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland (Office for National Statistics, 2011 Census)
LPT: Leicestershire Partnership NHS Trust (headcount of service users 2017/18)

However, there were underrepresentations of some ethnic subgroups in some service areas:

- In AAL there were underrepresentations of
 - Asian British Indian adults aged 16 to 29 years old (Figure 7, Table 2).
 - Variations in ethnicity profile by individual service line within AAL are detailed in Table 10.


Figure 7: Ethnicity profile of AAL's service users by age band and gender, compared to the local population



LLR: Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland (Office for National Statistics, 2011 Census)
AAL: All Age Liaison service (headcount of service users 2017/18)

- In AMH&LD there were underrepresentations of
 - White “other” men and women aged 16 to 29 years old,
 - Asian British Indian men and women aged 16 to 29 and 30 to 49 years old,
 - Black British African women aged 16 to 29 years old,
 - Mixed race White and Asian people;
 - Chinese men and women aged 16 to 29 years old, and Chinese women aged 30 to 49 years old (Figure 8, Table 2).
 - Variations in ethnicity profile by individual service line within AMH/LD are detailed in Table 14.


Figure 8: Ethnicity profile of AMH/LD’s service users by age band and gender, compared to the local population



LLR: Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland (Office for National Statistics, 2011 Census)
 AMH/LD: Adult Mental Health and Learning Disability services (headcount of service users 2017/18)

- In CHS there were underrepresentations of
 - White “other” people,
 - Asian British Bangladeshi, Pakistani, and “other” Asian British people, overall, and Asian British Indian women aged 16 to 29 years old,
 - Black British African women aged 16 to 29 and 30 to 49 years old;
 - Mixed race White and Asian, and White and Black Caribbean people,
 - Chinese people (Figure 9, Table 2).
 - Variations in ethnicity profile by individual service line within CHS are detailed in Table 18; for instance, service use amongst Asian British Indian people was weighted towards the Continence Nursing, Podiatry, and Reablement service lines, with lower levels of representation in many other CHS service lines.

Figure 9: Ethnicity profile of CHS’s service users by age band and gender, compared to the local population




LLR: Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland (Office for National Statistics, 2011 Census)

CHS: Community Health Services (headcount of service users 2017/18)

- In FYPC there were underrepresentations of
 - White British and White Irish people,
 - Black British African girls and boys aged under 16 years old, Black British African men and women aged 16 to 29 years old, and Black British African women aged 30 to 49 years old;
 - Black British Caribbean people,
 - Chinese men and women aged 16 to 29 years old (Figure 10, Table 2).
 - Variations in ethnicity profile by individual service line within FYPC are detailed in Table 22; for instance, service use amongst Asian British Indian people was weighted heavily towards the Children’s Community, Children’s Phlebotomy, and Dietetic service lines, with much lower levels of representation in many other FYPC service lines.

Figure 10: Ethnicity profile of FYPC’s service users by age band and gender, compared to the local population



■ White: British	■ White: Irish	■ White: Other	■ Asian British: Bangladeshi
■ Asian British: Indian	■ Asian British: Pakistani	■ Asian British: Other	■ Black British: African
■ Black British: Caribbean	■ Black British: Other	■ Mixed: White / Asian	■ Mixed: White / Black African
■ Mixed: White / Black Caribbean	■ Mixed: Other	■ Other: Chinese	■ Other: Any Other

LLR: Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland (Office for National Statistics, 2011 Census)
 FYPC: Families, Young People and Children’s Services (headcount of service users 2017/18)

- Data quality:
 - Information about ethnicity was not held for 24.8% of LPT's service users overall (Table 2), 25.8% in AAL, 28.2% in AMH/LD, 14.8% in CHS, and 29.1% in FYPC; with considerable variation by service line (AAL Table 10, AMH/LD Table 14, CHS Table 18, FYPC Table 22).
 - Thus, potentially, the analyses presented here may not give a representative picture of the ethnicity profile of service users within LPT and its individual service lines.

3.3 The disability, religion and belief, and sexual orientation profiles of LPT's service users

LPT's patient information systems hold information about patients' disability (Table 3), religion or belief (Table 4), and sexual orientation (Table 5). However, these data are largely incomplete and cannot be used to draw reliable inferences about the disability, religion and belief, and sexual orientation profiles of LPT's service users.

3.4 Service in the Armed Forces amongst LPT's service users

LPT's patient information systems also hold information about whether a patient has served in the armed forces (Table 6). Again, these data are largely incomplete and cannot be used to draw reliable inferences about the profile of LPT's service users with regard to having served in the armed forces.

3.5 Broad disorder types and Health of Nation Outcome Scores amongst users of adult mental health services

Disorder types and Health of Nation Outcome Scores (HoNOS) were analysed by age, ethnicity, and gender for users of adult mental health services to give insight into how the difficulties and problems faced by service users vary by demographic group. Disorder types (non-psychotic, psychotic, cognitive) varied by age, ethnicity, and gender (Table 23 to Table 25); as did the prevalence and severity of problems in the HoNOS domains (Table 26 to Table 31). The scores in HoNOS domains, as analysed here, reflect a snapshot of service users' mental health conditions at a given time rather than a treatment outcome.

Asian British and Black British service users were overrepresented amongst those with a psychotic disorder (Table 24), and were more likely to have severe problems in certain HoNOS domains (Table 29):









- problems associated with hallucinations and delusions;
- engagement (historical);
- vulnerability (historical).

4 Appendix of analyses

4.1 Demographic profile of service users

Overrepresentation or underrepresentation in a protected characteristic subgroup of service users was assessed for the period 1st April 2017 to 31st March 2018 relative to that subgroup's representation in the local population, based on Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland (or the East Midlands region where more local breakdowns are not available) according to the latest available estimates⁶ (Chi-Squared Test or Fisher's Exact Tests, $\alpha = .05$, followed by *post-hoc* analyses of standardised residuals with the Bonferroni correction applied).

Statistically significant deviations from proportional representation are flagged in the tables giving the percentages of service users in each protected characteristic subgroup compared to the relevant benchmark:

	Reference benchmark against which overrepresentation or underrepresentation is evaluated (representation in the local population)
	A group that is overrepresented to a significant, large degree when compared to its level of representation in the reference benchmark
	A group that is overrepresented to a significant, medium degree when compared to its level of representation in the reference benchmark
	A group that is overrepresented to a significant, small degree when compared to its level of representation in the reference benchmark
	A group that is proportionately represented when compared to its level of representation in the reference benchmark
	A group that is underrepresented to a significant, small degree when compared to its level of representation in the reference benchmark
	A group that is underrepresented to a significant, medium degree when compared to its level of representation in the reference benchmark
	A group that is underrepresented to a significant, large degree when compared to its level of representation in the reference benchmark

(Essentially, greens indicate overrepresentation and yellows/oranges/reds indicate underrepresentation.)

⁶ Office for National Statistics 2017 mid-year estimates for age and gender; 2011 UK Census for ethnicity, disability, and religion or belief; 2016 ONS Annual Population Survey for sexual orientation; 2016 ONS Annual Population Survey for veterans / ex-service people

4.1.1 Local population and service use, overall and by service area

Table 1: Service users analysed by service area, age, and gender, compared against the local population

Gender	Area	Age Band (years)*											Total known	Not known	Grand total	
		0 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 59	60 to 69	70 to 79	80 to 89				90 +
All Persons	LLR	5.9%	6.2%	5.8%	6.3%	14.7%	12.3%	12.8%	13.1%	10.6%	7.7%	3.8%	0.9%	1083226	0.0%	1083226
	LPT†	18.4%	11.3%	9.3%	6.5%	9.1%	10.1%	5.8%	5.9%	6.2%	7.3%	7.2%	2.9%	315609	0.0%	315609
	AAL	0.7%	2.1%	7.3%	12.8%	19.8%	13.6%	13.1%	12.4%	7.5%	5.3%	4.2%	1.2%	5340	0.0%	5340
	AMH/LD	0.0%	0.1%	0.6%	5.2%	23.2%	19.9%	19.0%	18.0%	9.6%	3.1%	1.1%	0.3%	23953	0.0%	23953
	CHS	0.1%	0.3%	0.7%	1.5%	5.4%	6.6%	8.8%	12.9%	15.7%	19.9%	20.0%	8.1%	112637	0.0%	112637
	FYPC	29.6%	18.0%	14.8%	9.7%	10.2%	11.4%	2.8%	0.8%	0.8%	0.7%	0.7%	0.4%	196428	0.0%	196428
Females	LLR	5.7%	6.0%	5.6%	6.0%	14.1%	12.5%	12.8%	13.1%	10.7%	8.0%	4.4%	1.2%	544713	0.0%	544713
	LPT†	15.1%	9.0%	8.7%	6.0%	11.2%	14.3%	6.6%	5.8%	5.7%	6.7%	7.3%	3.5%	182789	0.0%	182789
	AAL	0.6%	1.5%	7.5%	14.7%	20.3%	12.5%	13.6%	12.4%	7.7%	4.9%	3.4%	1.0%	2993	0.0%	2993
	AMH/LD	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	5.3%	23.0%	19.5%	18.9%	18.2%	9.7%	3.1%	1.3%	0.3%	12694	0.0%	12694
	CHS	0.1%	0.2%	0.6%	1.3%	4.9%	6.5%	9.1%	13.1%	14.7%	18.8%	20.8%	10.0%	63406	0.0%	63406
	FYPC	23.4%	13.9%	13.3%	8.8%	14.1%	18.6%	4.3%	0.9%	0.8%	0.7%	0.8%	0.5%	118059	0.0%	118059
Males	LLR	6.1%	6.3%	6.0%	6.6%	15.3%	12.2%	12.7%	13.1%	10.6%	7.4%	3.2%	0.5%	538513	0.0%	538513
	LPT†	22.9%	14.4%	10.2%	7.1%	6.2%	4.2%	4.7%	6.0%	7.0%	8.1%	7.0%	2.1%	132776	0.0%	132776
	AAL	0.9%	2.8%	7.2%	10.5%	19.1%	15.0%	12.5%	12.5%	7.2%	5.8%	5.1%	1.3%	2345	0.0%	2345
	AMH/LD	0.0%	0.1%	0.6%	5.0%	23.4%	20.4%	19.1%	17.8%	9.6%	3.1%	0.8%	0.2%	11254	0.0%	11254
	CHS	0.2%	0.3%	0.8%	1.8%	6.0%	6.7%	8.5%	12.7%	17.1%	21.4%	18.9%	5.7%	49201	0.0%	49201
	FYPC	38.8%	24.4%	17.1%	11.1%	4.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.7%	0.8%	0.8%	0.7%	0.3%	78359	0.0%	78359

LLR: Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland (Office for National Statistics, 2017 mid-year estimate)

LPT: Leicestershire Partnership NHS Trust (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to the LLR benchmark

AAL: All Age Liaison Service (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to the LLR benchmark

AMH/LD: Adult Mental Health and Learning Disability services (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to the LLR benchmark

CHS: Community Health Services (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to the LLR benchmark

FYPC: Families, Young People and Children's Services (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to the LLR benchmark

* percentages calculated by row; total known is the base for the percentage and represents total of known age (all persons) or total of known age and gender (males / females)

† totals for individual service areas will exceed those for LPT overall as individuals may use services in more than one service area

Table 2: Service users analysed by service area, ethnicity, age, and gender, compared against the local population

Age Band (years)	Gender	Area	Ethnicity*															Total known	Not known	Grand total	
			British	White		Bangla- deshi	Asian British			Black British			Mixed			Other					
				Irish	Other		Indian	Pakistani	Other	African	Caribbean	Other	White / Asian	White / Black African	White / Black Caribbean	Other	Chinese				Any Other
All Ages	All Persons	LLR	74.9%	0.7%	2.8%	0.6%	12.0%	1.0%	1.7%	1.4%	0.6%	0.4%	0.7%	0.2%	0.8%	0.4%	0.8%	1.1%	1017697	0.0%	1017697
		LPT†	67.1%	0.4%	4.9%	0.9%	15.4%	1.8%	2.2%	0.3%	0.5%	0.7%	0.9%	1.4%	1.1%	0.9%	0.3%	1.2%	237353	24.8%	315609
		AAL	78.6%	0.4%	3.1%	R	7.1%	1.1%	2.3%	0.9%	0.5%	1.4%	0.8%	0.4%	0.9%	0.7%	R	1.4%	3963	25.8%	5340
		AMH/LD	77.6%	0.6%	2.6%	0.5%	9.3%	1.1%	2.1%	1.1%	1.0%	0.5%	0.4%	0.3%	0.9%	0.7%	0.2%	1.2%	17207	28.2%	23953
		FYPC	59.2%	0.2%	6.7%	1.2%	17.4%	2.5%	2.8%	0.1%	0.4%	0.9%	1.3%	2.1%	1.5%	1.3%	0.5%	1.7%	139247	29.1%	196428
0 to 15	Female	LLR	68.8%	0.3%	2.4%	1.1%	13.0%	1.7%	2.3%	2.4%	0.4%	0.9%	1.7%	0.5%	1.8%	0.9%	0.4%	1.5%	94151	0.0%	94151
		LPT†	58.3%	0.1%	6.7%	1.3%	17.5%	2.6%	2.9%	0.0%	0.4%	1.0%	1.6%	2.1%	1.7%	1.7%	0.4%	1.7%	46422	27.1%	63706
		AAL	78.2%	0.0%	R	0.0%	6.6%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	4.7%	R	R	R	R	0.0%	R	316	21.0%	400
		AMH/LD	91.4%	0.0%	R	0.0%	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	R	116	26.6%	158
		FYPC	58.2%	0.1%	6.7%	1.3%	17.5%	2.6%	2.9%	0.0%	0.4%	1.0%	1.6%	2.1%	1.7%	1.7%	0.4%	1.7%	46207	27.2%	63432
	Male	LLR	69.0%	0.3%	2.4%	1.1%	12.9%	1.6%	2.3%	2.4%	0.4%	0.9%	1.8%	0.5%	1.8%	0.8%	0.4%	1.4%	99195	0.0%	99195
		LPT†	58.8%	0.1%	6.8%	1.3%	17.2%	2.5%	2.7%	0.0%	0.3%	0.9%	1.6%	2.1%	1.8%	1.6%	0.4%	1.7%	49761	24.2%	65677
		AAL	73.4%	0.0%	R	R	5.2%	R	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%	5.7%	R	0.0%	R	R	0.0%	R	229	23.2%	298
		AMH/LD	72.8%	0.0%	R	R	R	R	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	0.0%	R	81	27.0%	111
		FYPC	58.7%	0.1%	6.8%	1.3%	17.2%	2.5%	2.7%	0.0%	0.3%	0.9%	1.6%	2.1%	1.8%	1.6%	0.4%	1.7%	49516	24.2%	65344

LLR: Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland (Office for National Statistics, 2011 Census)

LPT: Leicestershire Partnership NHS Trust (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to the LLR benchmark

AAL: All Age Liaison Service (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to the LLR benchmark

AMH/LD: Adult Mental Health and Learning Disability services (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to the LLR benchmark

CHS: Community Health Services (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to the LLR benchmark

FYPC: Families, Young People and Children's Services (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to the LLR benchmark

* percentages calculated by row; total known is the base for the percentage and represents total of known ethnicity or total of known ethnicity, gender, and ethnicity (within age bands and genders)

† totals for individual service areas will exceed those for LPT overall as individuals may use services in more than one service area

R: Redacted

Table 2 is continued overleaf ...

Table 2 continued: Service users analysed by service area, ethnicity, age, and gender, compared against the local population

Age Band (years)	Gender	Area	Ethnicity*															Total known	Not known	Grand total	
			British	White		Bangla-deshi	Asian British			Black British			Mixed			Other					
				Irish	Other		Indian	Pakistani	Other	African	Caribbean	Other	White / Asian	White / Black African	White / Black Caribbean	Other	Chinese				Any Other
16 to 29	Female	LLR	66.0%	0.4%	4.9%	0.8%	14.1%	1.4%	2.1%	2.3%	0.7%	0.4%	0.9%	0.2%	1.3%	0.6%	2.6%	1.4%	100494	0.0%	100494
		LPT†	64.5%	0.4%	6.5%	1.1%	14.6%	2.4%	2.0%	0.3%	0.5%	0.8%	0.8%	1.9%	1.5%	0.7%	0.5%	1.5%	17932	35.7%	27895
		AAL	76.0%	R	4.2%	R	4.7%	2.0%	2.9%	R	R	R	R	R	1.8%	R	R	1.8%	659	29.4%	933
		AMH/LD	80.8%	R	2.4%	0.6%	5.1%	1.2%	2.0%	1.2%	0.6%	R	0.9%	0.6%	1.5%	1.0%	R	1.3%	2332	33.7%	3516
		CHS	73.8%	0.4%	3.8%	1.0%	11.2%	1.0%	1.5%	0.6%	0.5%	0.7%	0.5%	1.0%	1.4%	1.1%	0.6%	0.9%	3156	17.3%	3817
	FYPC	62.2%	0.4%	7.2%	1.2%	15.7%	2.7%	2.1%	0.1%	0.5%	0.8%	0.8%	2.1%	1.6%	0.6%	0.5%	1.6%	14677	37.0%	23308	
	Male	LLR	67.0%	0.5%	4.2%	0.8%	14.0%	1.4%	2.4%	1.9%	0.6%	0.4%	1.1%	0.3%	1.2%	0.5%	2.1%	1.8%	102038	0.0%	102038
		LPT†	61.8%	0.3%	4.0%	0.9%	17.0%	2.7%	2.9%	0.9%	0.8%	1.1%	1.0%	2.2%	1.4%	1.0%	0.4%	1.6%	7966	47.6%	15194
		AAL	75.4%	R	2.3%	R	5.4%	R	3.3%	R	R	2.3%	R	R	R	R	R	3.1%	483	25.8%	651
		AMH/LD	75.7%	R	2.2%	R	7.4%	1.1%	2.5%	1.7%	1.3%	1.3%	0.7%	0.7%	1.2%	1.2%	R	1.7%	1918	39.5%	3169
CHS		65.1%	R	4.1%	1.1%	16.1%	1.7%	2.9%	1.2%	0.9%	1.0%	0.8%	1.2%	1.1%	1.1%	R	0.9%	2775	25.1%	3703	
FYPC	55.3%	0.3%	4.2%	1.0%	20.6%	3.8%	3.5%	0.6%	0.8%	1.0%	1.2%	3.2%	1.7%	0.8%	0.3%	1.8%	4259	56.2%	9732		
30 to 49	Female	LLR	73.3%	0.6%	3.4%	0.6%	13.4%	1.1%	1.9%	1.7%	0.7%	0.4%	0.3%	0.1%	0.5%	0.3%	0.6%	1.0%	138300	0.0%	138300
		LPT†	59.4%	0.4%	7.2%	1.0%	18.7%	2.3%	3.0%	0.6%	0.5%	0.9%	0.6%	2.1%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	1.5%	26801	29.9%	38252
		AAL	75.8%	R	5.5%	R	8.9%	R	2.1%	R	R	R	0.0%	R	R	R	0.0%	R	528	32.2%	779
		AMH/LD	76.6%	0.5%	3.3%	0.7%	9.6%	1.1%	2.3%	1.4%	0.7%	0.4%	R	R	1.0%	0.7%	R	1.2%	3533	27.6%	4878
		CHS	65.9%	0.4%	4.9%	1.1%	16.8%	1.6%	2.9%	1.1%	0.6%	0.8%	0.6%	0.8%	0.5%	0.8%	0.4%	0.8%	8472	14.4%	9895
	FYPC	54.8%	0.4%	8.7%	1.1%	20.3%	2.8%	3.2%	0.3%	0.4%	1.0%	0.6%	2.8%	0.6%	0.6%	0.8%	1.8%	17944	33.7%	27061	
	Male	LLR	73.0%	0.6%	3.5%	0.6%	12.5%	1.1%	2.2%	1.8%	0.7%	0.3%	0.4%	0.1%	0.5%	0.2%	0.5%	1.7%	136886	0.0%	136886
		LPT†	65.0%	0.4%	4.5%	1.0%	16.8%	1.8%	3.0%	1.5%	0.9%	0.7%	0.5%	0.6%	0.7%	0.8%	0.2%	1.5%	8898	24.0%	11711
		AAL	74.0%	R	3.6%	R	10.2%	R	3.0%	R	R	R	0.0%	R	R	R	0.0%	R	469	27.4%	646
		AMH/LD	73.8%	0.5%	3.0%	0.6%	10.1%	1.2%	2.6%	1.7%	1.3%	0.7%	0.4%	R	1.2%	0.8%	R	1.5%	3097	30.3%	4445
CHS		61.5%	0.4%	5.3%	1.2%	19.4%	2.0%	3.1%	1.4%	0.7%	0.6%	0.6%	0.9%	0.5%	0.8%	0.2%	1.5%	6002	19.4%	7447	
FYPC	60.7%	R	3.2%	R	19.9%	2.1%	5.4%	1.9%	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	1.9%	634	21.8%	811		

LLR: Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland (Office for National Statistics, 2011 Census)

LPT: Leicestershire Partnership NHS Trust (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to the LLR benchmark

AAL: All Age Liaison Service (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to the LLR benchmark

AMH/LD: Adult Mental Health and Learning Disability services (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to the LLR benchmark

CHS: Community Health Services (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to the LLR benchmark

FYPC: Families, Young People and Children's Services (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to the LLR benchmark

* percentages calculated by row; total known is the base for the percentage and represents total of known ethnicity or total of known ethnicity, gender, and age (within age bands and genders)

† totals for individual service areas will exceed those for LPT overall as individuals may use services in more than one service area

R: Redacted

Table 2 is continued overleaf ...

Table 2 continued: Service users analysed by service area, ethnicity, age, and gender, compared against the local population

Age Band (years)	Gender	Area	Ethnicity*															Total known	Not known	Grand total		
			British	White Irish	Other	Bangladeshi	Asian British Indian	Pakistani	Other	African	Black British Caribbean	Other	White / Asian	Mixed White / Black African	White / Black Caribbean	Other	Chinese				Any Other	
50 to 74	Female	LLR	82.9%	1.1%	1.5%	0.2%	10.7%	0.4%	0.9%	0.3%	0.6%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.3%	0.4%	136751	0.0%	136751	
		LPT†	76.5%	0.7%	1.7%	0.3%	15.2%	0.8%	1.7%	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.2%	0.4%	23217	14.9%	27269	
		AAL	83.9%	R	R	0.0%	8.0%	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	0.0%	R	R	R	R	497	26.9%	680
		AMH/LD	78.0%	0.7%	2.1%	R	12.3%	0.8%	1.9%	0.6%	1.1%	R	R	R	R	0.5%	R	0.8%	3001	20.7%	3783	
		CHS	76.9%	0.7%	1.7%	0.3%	15.3%	0.8%	1.6%	0.4%	0.6%	0.4%	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%	20426	13.4%	23576	
	FYPC	71.0%	0.9%	1.6%	R	17.3%	1.1%	3.5%	0.6%	0.8%	0.7%	R	R	0.5%	R	0.6%	R	0.7%	2113	14.0%	2456	
	Male	LLR	83.2%	1.0%	1.3%	0.2%	10.6%	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.3%	0.5%	134277	0.0%	134277	
		LPT†	77.6%	0.7%	1.6%	0.3%	14.7%	0.8%	1.5%	0.3%	0.7%	0.4%	0.1%	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%	0.1%	0.4%	18951	17.1%	22850	
		AAL	83.0%	R	R	R	8.6%	R	R	R	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	R	0.0%	0.0%	R	405	25.3%	542	
		AMH/LD	79.2%	1.0%	2.2%	R	10.7%	1.2%	1.3%	0.5%	1.5%	0.4%	R	R	0.5%	R	R	0.6%	2596	21.3%	3298	
CHS		77.5%	0.7%	1.5%	0.3%	15.2%	0.8%	1.5%	0.3%	0.6%	0.4%	0.1%	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%	0.1%	0.4%	16953	15.8%	20123		
FYPC	77.8%	0.9%	1.5%	R	12.6%	R	3.4%	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	0.0%	R	1249	13.5%	1444			
75 and over	Female	LLR	89.9%	1.4%	1.7%	0.1%	5.3%	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	44786	0.0%	44786	
		LPT†	85.9%	1.0%	2.2%	0.1%	8.4%	0.3%	0.6%	0.0%	0.5%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	22441	12.6%	25667	
		AAL	89.6%	R	R	0.0%	R	R	R	0.0%	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	0.0%	0.0%	183	9.0%	201	
		AMH/LD	87.3%	R	R	0.0%	6.1%	R	R	0.0%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	330	8.1%	359	
		CHS	85.9%	1.0%	2.2%	0.1%	8.5%	0.3%	0.6%	0.0%	0.5%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	22248	12.5%	25430	
	FYPC	87.5%	1.2%	1.8%	R	5.9%	R	1.0%	R	R	R	R	R	0.6%	R	R	R	1685	6.5%	1802		
	Male	LLR	89.6%	1.3%	1.5%	0.1%	5.5%	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%	0.7%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.3%	30819	0.0%	30819	
		LPT†	86.3%	1.0%	1.7%	0.2%	8.1%	0.4%	0.7%	0.0%	0.6%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	14939	13.9%	17344	
		AAL	88.0%	0.0%	R	0.0%	R	0.0%	R	0.0%	0.0%	R	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	0.0%	192	7.7%	208	
		AMH/LD	87.0%	0.0%	R	0.0%	7.0%	0.0%	R	0.0%	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	0.0%	R	200	13.4%	231	
CHS		86.3%	1.0%	1.7%	0.2%	8.1%	0.4%	0.7%	0.0%	0.6%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	14835	13.8%	17205		
FYPC	87.2%	R	R	0.0%	6.6%	R	1.1%	0.0%	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	959	6.7%	1028		

LLR: Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland (Office for National Statistics, 2011 Census)

LPT: Leicestershire Partnership NHS Trust (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to the LLR benchmark

AAL: All Age Liaison Service (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to the LLR benchmark

AMH/LD: Adult Mental Health and Learning Disability services (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to the LLR benchmark

CHS: Community Health Services (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to the LLR benchmark

FYPC: Families, Young People and Children's Services (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to the LLR benchmark

* percentages calculated by row; total known is the base for the percentage and represents total of known ethnicity or total of known ethnicity, gender, and age (within age bands and genders)

† totals for individual service areas will exceed those for LPT overall as individuals may use services in more than one service area

R: Redacted

Table 3: Service users analysed by service area and disability (day-to-day activities limited a lot), compared against the local population

Area	Disability		Total known	Not known	Grand total
	Disabled†	Not Disabled			
LLR	7.4%	92.6%	1017697	0.0%	1017697
LPT‡	47.7%	52.3%	218	99.9%	315609
AAL	R	R	15	99.7%	5340
AMH/LD	74.4%	25.6%	39	99.8%	23953
CHS	46.3%	53.7%	203	99.8%	112637
FYPC	R	R	18	100.0%	196428

LLR: Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland (Office for National Statistics, 2011 Census)

LPT: Leicestershire Partnership NHS Trust (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to the LLR benchmark

AAL: All Age Liaison Service (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to the LLR benchmark

AMH/LD: Adult Mental Health and Learning Disability services (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to the LLR benchmark

CHS: Community Health Services (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to the LLR benchmark

FYPC: Families, Young People and Children's Services (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to the LLR benchmark

* percentages calculated by row; total known is the base for the percentage and represents total of known disability status

† for the LLR 2011 Census figures those who described their day-to-day activities as limited a lot are classified as disabled, whilst those who described their day-to-day activities as limited a little or not limited at all are classified as not disabled

‡ totals for individual service areas will exceed those for LPT overall as individuals may use services in more than one service area

R: Redacted

Table 4: Service users analysed by service area and religion or belief, compared against the local population

Area	Religion or Belief						Total known	Not known	Grand total
	No Religion	Christian	Hindu	Muslim	Sikh	Other			
LLR	27.2%	55.0%	7.2%	7.4%	2.4%	0.9%	954766	6.2%	1017697
LPT†	21.4%	56.7%	8.8%	7.6%	3.0%	2.4%	6618	97.9%	315609
AAL	28.7%	52.0%	5.8%	7.7%	3.1%	2.7%	481	91.0%	5340
AMH/LD	25.2%	51.6%	8.5%	8.9%	3.3%	2.6%	4527	81.1%	23953
CHS	12.7%	67.1%	9.5%	5.7%	2.8%	2.3%	3220	97.1%	112637
FYPC	25.3%	53.0%	8.1%	8.0%	2.6%	2.9%	1290	99.3%	196428

LLR: Leicester, Leicestershire, and Rutland (Office for National Statistics, 2011 Census)

LPT: Leicestershire Partnership NHS Trust (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to the LLR benchmark

AAL: All Age Liaison Service (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to the LLR benchmark

AMH/LD: Adult Mental Health and Learning Disability services (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to the LLR benchmark

CHS: Community Health Services (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to the LLR benchmark

FYPC: Families, Young People and Children's Services (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to the LLR benchmark

* percentages calculated by row; total known is the base for the percentage and represents total of known religion or belief

† totals for individual service areas will exceed those for LPT overall as individuals may use services in more than one service area

Table 5: Service users analysed by service area and sexual orientation, compared against the local population

Area	Sexual Orientation*		Total known	Not known	Grand total†
	Heterosexual	LGBO			
East Midlands	97.4%	2.6%	3594	4.9%	3779
LPT‡	96.8%	3.2%	539	99.8%	315609
AAL	R	R	31	99.4%	5340
AMH/LD	90.9%	9.1%	121	99.5%	23953
CHS	R	R	462	99.6%	112637
FYPC	R	R	44	100.0%	196428

East Midlands: East Midlands region (Office for National Statistics, 2016 Annual Population Survey)

LPT: Leicestershire Partnership NHS Trust (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to the LLR benchmark

AAL: All Age Liaison Service (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to the LLR benchmark

AMH/LD: Adult Mental Health and Learning Disability services (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to the LLR benchmark

CHS: Community Health Services (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to the LLR benchmark

FYPC: Families, Young People and Children's Services (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to the LLR benchmark

* percentages calculated by row; total known is the base for the percentage and represents total of known sexual orientation

† aged 16 years old and over

‡ totals for individual service areas will exceed those for LPT overall as individuals may use services in more than one service area

R: Redacted

Table 6: Service users analysed by service area and service in the Armed Forces, compared against the local population

Area	Forces*		Total known	Not known	Grand total†
	Ex-Services / Veterans	Not ex-services			
East Midlands	5.2%	94.8%	3711	1.8%	3779
LPT‡	12.3%	87.7%	1206	99.4%	186220
AAL	R	R	61	98.7%	4642
AMH/LD	7.1%	92.9%	323	98.6%	23684
CHS	13.2%	86.8%	982	99.1%	111225
FYPC	9.3%	90.7%	107	99.8%	67647

East Midlands: East Midlands region (Office for National Statistics, 2016 Annual Population Survey)

LPT: Leicestershire Partnership NHS Trust (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to the LLR benchmark

AAL: All Age Liaison Service (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to the LLR benchmark

AMH/LD: Adult Mental Health and Learning Disability services (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to the LLR benchmark

CHS: Community Health Services (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to the LLR benchmark

FYPC: Families, Young People and Children's Services (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to the LLR benchmark

* percentages calculated by row; total known is the base for the percentage and represents total of known forces status

† aged 16 years old and over

‡ totals for individual service areas will exceed those for LPT overall as individuals may use services in more than one service area

R: Redacted

4.1.2 Service use in the All Age Liaison Service

Table 7: AAL's service users analysed by age and service line, compared against the overall profile of AAL's service users

Service	Age Band (years)*													Total known	Not known	Grand total
	0 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 59	60 to 69	70 to 79	80 to 89	90 +				
AAL Overall†	0.7%	2.1%	7.3%	12.8%	19.8%	13.6%	13.1%	12.4%	7.5%	5.3%	4.2%	1.2%	5340	0.0%	5340	
AAL 1 Hour Service	0.0%	R	4.0%	15.8%	30.6%	18.1%	13.7%	11.6%	4.4%	1.2%	R	R	2867	0.0%	2867	
AAL 14 Hour Service	1.6%	4.2%	11.0%	9.5%	7.3%	8.5%	12.6%	13.5%	11.2%	10.0%	8.3%	2.3%	2554	0.0%	2554	

AAL: All Age Liaison service (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to this benchmark

* percentages calculated by row; total known is the base for the percentage and represents total of known age (all persons)

† totals for individual service lines will exceed those for the service area overall as individuals may use services in more than one service line

R: Redacted

Table 8: AAL's female service users analysed by age and service line, compared against the overall profile of AAL's female service users

Service	Age Band (years)*													Total known	Not known	Grand total
	0 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 59	60 to 69	70 to 79	80 to 89	90 +				
AAL Overall†	0.6%	1.5%	7.5%	14.7%	20.3%	12.5%	13.6%	12.4%	7.7%	4.9%	3.4%	1.0%	2993	0.0%	2993	
AAL 1 Hour Service	0.0%	0.0%	4.9%	19.5%	30.8%	15.0%	12.5%	11.1%	4.4%	R	R	0.0%	1530	0.0%	1530	
AAL 14 Hour Service	1.3%	3.0%	10.0%	9.8%	9.2%	9.8%	14.7%	13.7%	11.4%	8.6%	6.4%	2.1%	1504	0.0%	1504	

AAL: All Age Liaison service (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to this benchmark

* percentages calculated by row; total known is the base for the percentage and represents total of known age and gender (females)

† totals for individual service lines will exceed those for the service area overall as individuals may use services in more than one service line

R: Redacted

Table 9: AAL's male service users analysed by age and service line, compared against the overall profile of AAL's male service users

Service	Age Band (years)*													Total known	Not known	Grand total
	0 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 59	60 to 69	70 to 79	80 to 89	90 +				
AAL Overall†	0.9%	2.8%	7.2%	10.5%	19.1%	15.0%	12.5%	12.5%	7.2%	5.8%	5.1%	1.3%	2345	0.0%	2345	
AAL 1 Hour Service	0.0%	R	2.9%	11.5%	30.4%	21.6%	15.1%	12.3%	4.3%	1.0%	R	R	1335	0.0%	1335	
AAL 14 Hour Service	2.0%	5.8%	12.4%	9.0%	4.6%	6.7%	9.7%	13.1%	11.0%	11.9%	11.0%	2.8%	1050	0.0%	1050	

AAL: All Age Liaison service (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to this benchmark

* percentages calculated by row; total known is the base for the percentage and represents total of known age and gender (males)

† totals for individual service lines will exceed those for the service area overall as individuals may use services in more than one service line

R: Redacted

Table 10: AAL’s service users analysed by ethnicity and service line, compared against the overall profile of AAL’s service users

Service	Ethnicity*																Total known	Not known	Grand total
	British	White Irish	Other	Bangla-deshi	Asian British			Black British			Mixed			Other					
					Indian	Pakistani	Other	African	Caribbean	Other	White / Asian	White / African	White / Caribbean	Other	Chinese	Any Other			
AAL Overall†	78.6%	0.4%	3.1%	R	7.1%	1.1%	2.3%	0.9%	0.5%	1.4%	0.8%	0.4%	0.9%	0.7%	R	1.4%	3963	25.8%	5340
AAL 1 Hour Service	78.6%	0.5%	3.6%	R	6.0%	1.1%	2.7%	0.9%	0.7%	0.9%	R	R	1.0%	0.7%	R	2.0%	2281	20.4%	2867
AAL 14 Hour Service	79.0%	R	2.3%	R	8.5%	1.0%	1.9%	0.9%	R	2.0%	1.1%	R	0.8%	0.6%	R	0.6%	1750	31.5%	2554

AAL: All Age Liaison service (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to this benchmark

* percentages calculated by row; total known is the base for the percentage and represents total of known ethnicity (all persons)

† totals for individual service lines will exceed those for the service area overall as individuals may use services in more than one service line

R: Redacted

4.1.3 Service use in Adult Mental Health and Learning Disabilities analysed by service line

Table 11: AMH&LD’s service users analysed by age and service line, compared against the overall profile of AMH&LD’s service users

Service	Age Band (years)*													Total known	Not known	Grand total
	0 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 59	60 to 69	70 to 79	80 to 89	90 +				
AMH/LD Overall†	0.0%	0.1%	0.6%	5.2%	23.2%	19.9%	19.0%	18.0%	9.6%	3.1%	1.1%	0.3%	23953	0.0%	23953	
Acquired Brain Injury Service	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	34	0.0%	34	
ADHD Service	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%	47.0%	23.5%	13.2%	5.0%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	1429	0.0%	1429	
Adult General Psychiatry	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	13.4%	23.5%	23.8%	18.9%	11.6%	5.5%	R	0.0%	328	0.0%	328	
Adult General Psychiatry - Community / Outpatient	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	19.5%	20.3%	21.9%	22.1%	10.9%	2.1%	0.2%	0.0%	12006	0.0%	12006	
Adult General Psychiatry - Inpatient	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	24.1%	18.5%	23.1%	R	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	108	0.0%	108	
Aspergers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.3%	42.9%	21.0%	14.2%	R	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	457	0.0%	457	
Assertive Outreach	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	8.3%	25.8%	31.5%	20.3%	11.5%	R	0.0%	0.0%	400	0.0%	400	
Clinical Neuropsychology	0.0%	5.7%	6.7%	R	R	8.6%	14.3%	19.0%	21.0%	13.3%	R	R	210	0.0%	210	
Cognitive Behavioural Psychotherapy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	21.6%	25.7%	22.2%	19.0%	7.3%	R	R	0.0%	861	0.0%	861	
Criminal Justice Liaison and Diversion - Victim First	0.0%	0.0%	R	6.1%	23.0%	24.2%	22.4%	16.4%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	165	0.0%	165	
Criminal Justice Liaison and Diversion Service	0.0%	0.0%	R	8.4%	34.7%	25.2%	18.2%	8.7%	2.4%	R	0.0%	0.0%	1131	0.0%	1131	
Dynamic Psychotherapy Service	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	12.8%	22.8%	29.0%	25.3%	7.3%	R	0.0%	0.0%	545	0.0%	545	
Forensic Community and Outpatients	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	26.7%	33.3%	23.3%	R	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	240	0.0%	240	
Forensic Inpatients	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	17	0.0%	17	
Homeless Service (City)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	30.0%	29.4%	20.2%	11.0%	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	327	0.0%	327	
Huntington's Disease	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	14.0%	19.1%	20.8%	25.3%	14.0%	R	0.0%	178	0.0%	178	
Integrated Care - Mental Health	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	4.5%	9.2%	16.1%	22.3%	32.3%	12.0%	467	0.0%	467	
Learning Disability Services	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.5%	29.3%	16.4%	13.5%	17.5%	12.0%	5.2%	0.7%	0.0%	1845	0.0%	1845	
Liaison Psychiatry	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	14.9%	18.1%	24.5%	24.6%	15.4%	R	R	0.0%	928	0.0%	928	
Liaison Psycho Oncology	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	7.1%	16.2%	27.4%	26.5%	14.8%	3.3%	R	574	0.0%	574	
Medical Psychology	R	0.0%	0.0%	2.8%	15.8%	18.9%	25.9%	19.2%	11.1%	4.4%	R	0.0%	892	0.0%	892	
Mental Health Triage Service	0.0%	R	3.8%	15.7%	30.7%	18.2%	13.8%	11.7%	4.4%	1.2%	R	R	2858	0.0%	2858	
METT Centre and Linnaeus Nursery	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	13.5%	18.8%	26.0%	28.1%	R	R	R	0.0%	192	0.0%	192	
MH Inpatient Rehabilitation Service	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	20.6%	23.5%	27.2%	16.2%	R	R	0.0%	136	0.0%	136	
PAVE	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	14.3%	23.4%	22.1%	15.6%	R	R	R	R	77	0.0%	77	
Perinatal Mental Health Service	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.9%	45.7%	45.6%	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	562	0.0%	562	
Personality Disorder Service	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	44.6%	25.3%	16.8%	8.7%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	980	0.0%	980	
Place of Safety Assessment Unit	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	28.6%	21.0%	22.7%	14.3%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	119	0.0%	119	
Refuge	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	43.8%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	48	0.0%	48	
Single Point of Access (SPA)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%	29.4%	22.0%	20.2%	16.4%	6.3%	R	R	0.0%	3146	0.0%	3146	
Triage Car Service / EMAS	0.0%	0.0%	R	7.0%	29.1%	23.0%	19.4%	14.8%	3.6%	R	R	0.0%	330	0.0%	330	

AMH/LD: Adult Mental Health and Learning Disability services (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to this benchmark

* percentages calculated by row; total known is the base for the percentage and represents total of known age (all persons)

† totals for individual service lines will exceed those for the service area overall as individuals may use services in more than one service line

R: Redacted

Table 12: AMH&LD's female service users analysed by age and service line, compared against the overall profile of AMH&LD's female service users

Service	Age Band (years)*													Total known	Not known	Grand total
	0 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 59	60 to 69	70 to 79	80 to 89	90 +				
AMH/LD Overall†	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	5.3%	23.0%	19.5%	18.9%	18.2%	9.7%	3.1%	1.3%	0.3%	12694	0.0%	12694	
Acquired Brain Injury Service	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10	0.0%	10	
ADHD Service	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.0%	39.4%	27.6%	16.1%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	467	0.0%	467	
Adult General Psychiatry	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	13.2%	15.4%	24.3%	20.6%	12.5%	R	R	0.0%	136	0.0%	136	
Adult General Psychiatry - Community / Outpatient	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%	20.4%	19.1%	21.4%	22.5%	10.9%	2.3%	0.2%	0.0%	6596	0.0%	6596	
Adult General Psychiatry - Inpatient	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	21.4%	R	23.2%	19.6%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	56	0.0%	56	
Aspergers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	44.7%	22.0%	12.6%	10.1%	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	159	0.0%	159	
Assertive Outreach	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	17.0%	30.1%	28.8%	14.4%	R	0.0%	0.0%	153	0.0%	153	
Clinical Neuropsychology	0.0%	R	R	R	R	R	14.5%	19.3%	21.7%	14.5%	R	R	83	0.0%	83	
Cognitive Behavioural Psychotherapy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	21.4%	26.0%	22.0%	19.3%	6.5%	R	R	0.0%	477	0.0%	477	
Criminal Justice Liaison and Diversion - Victim First	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	25.4%	24.6%	21.3%	15.6%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	122	0.0%	122	
Criminal Justice Liaison and Diversion Service	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	34.1%	21.7%	20.8%	11.9%	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	226	0.0%	226	
Dynamic Psychotherapy Service	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	15.5%	22.7%	27.3%	25.0%	7.0%	R	0.0%	0.0%	388	0.0%	388	
Forensic Community and Outpatients	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	37.5%	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	32	0.0%	32	
Forensic Inpatients	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0	
Homeless Service (City)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	30.9%	30.0%	17.3%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	110	0.0%	110	
Huntington's Disease	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	18.4%	21.4%	24.5%	14.3%	R	0.0%	98	0.0%	98	
Integrated Care - Mental Health	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	R	6.3%	12.6%	23.3%	35.6%	14.4%	270	0.0%	270	
Learning Disability Services	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	28.0%	17.8%	14.5%	17.7%	12.0%	4.8%	R	0.0%	818	0.0%	818	
Liaison Psychiatry	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	17.5%	19.3%	24.8%	22.2%	12.8%	R	0.0%	0.0%	576	0.0%	576	
Liaison Psycho Oncology	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	4.7%	8.2%	18.4%	28.8%	25.3%	10.4%	R	R	364	0.0%	364	
Medical Psychology	R	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	17.0%	19.5%	28.2%	16.7%	10.8%	3.1%	R	0.0%	610	0.0%	610	
Mental Health Triage Service	0.0%	0.0%	4.7%	19.4%	30.9%	15.1%	12.6%	11.2%	4.5%	R	R	0.0%	1524	0.0%	1524	
METT Centre and Linnaeus Nursery	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	17.8%	13.3%	25.6%	30.0%	13.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	90	0.0%	90	
MH Inpatient Rehabilitation Service	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	21.4%	19.6%	33.9%	R	R	R	0.0%	56	0.0%	56	
PAVE	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	41	0.0%	41	
Perinatal Mental Health Service	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.9%	45.7%	45.6%	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	562	0.0%	562	
Personality Disorder Service	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	46.3%	24.2%	16.3%	8.2%	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	728	0.0%	728	
Place of Safety Assessment Unit	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	25.0%	R	R	25.0%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	40	0.0%	40	
Refuge	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	43.8%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	48	0.0%	48	
Single Point of Access (SPA)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.2%	28.5%	20.9%	19.5%	17.1%	6.8%	R	R	0.0%	1681	0.0%	1681	
Triage Car Service / EMAS	0.0%	0.0%	R	8.2%	29.5%	20.5%	18.5%	14.4%	R	R	R	0.0%	146	0.0%	146	

AMH/LD: Adult Mental Health and Learning Disability services (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to this benchmark

* percentages calculated by row; total known is the base for the percentage and represents total of known age and gender (females)

† totals for individual service lines will exceed those for the service area overall as individuals may use services in more than one service line

R: Redacted

Table 13: AMH&LD’s male service users analysed by age and service line, compared against the overall profile of AMH&LD’s male service users

Service	Age Band (years)*													Total known	Not known	Grand total
	0 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 59	60 to 69	70 to 79	80 to 89	90 +				
AMH/LD Overall†	0.0%	0.1%	0.6%	5.0%	23.4%	20.4%	19.1%	17.8%	9.6%	3.1%	0.8%	0.2%	11254	0.0%	11254	
Acquired Brain Injury Service	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	24	0.0%	24	
ADHD Service	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.5%	50.7%	21.5%	11.7%	4.1%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	962	0.0%	962	
Adult General Psychiatry	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	13.5%	29.2%	23.4%	17.7%	10.9%	R	R	0.0%	192	0.0%	192	
Adult General Psychiatry - Community / Outpatient	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.8%	18.5%	21.7%	22.5%	21.6%	10.9%	R	R	0.0%	5409	0.0%	5409	
Adult General Psychiatry - Inpatient	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	26.9%	23.1%	23.1%	R	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	52	0.0%	52	
Aspergers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.7%	41.9%	20.5%	15.1%	R	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	298	0.0%	298	
Assertive Outreach	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	10.5%	31.2%	32.4%	15.0%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	247	0.0%	247	
Clinical Neuropsychology	0.0%	R	9.4%	R	R	R	14.2%	18.9%	20.5%	12.6%	R	0.0%	127	0.0%	127	
Cognitive Behavioural Psychotherapy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	21.9%	25.3%	22.4%	18.8%	8.3%	R	0.0%	0.0%	384	0.0%	384	
Criminal Justice Liaison and Diversion - Victim First	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	23.3%	25.6%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	43	0.0%	43	
Criminal Justice Liaison and Diversion Service	0.0%	0.0%	R	8.6%	34.8%	26.1%	17.6%	7.7%	2.5%	R	0.0%	0.0%	904	0.0%	904	
Dynamic Psychotherapy Service	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	22.9%	33.1%	26.1%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	157	0.0%	157	
Forensic Community and Outpatients	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	26.9%	35.6%	21.2%	R	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	208	0.0%	208	
Forensic Inpatients	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	17	0.0%	17	
Homeless Service (City)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	29.5%	29.0%	21.7%	14.3%	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	217	0.0%	217	
Huntington's Disease	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	16.3%	20.0%	20.0%	26.3%	R	0.0%	0.0%	80	0.0%	80	
Integrated Care - Mental Health	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	13.2%	20.8%	20.8%	27.9%	8.6%	197	0.0%	197	
Learning Disability Services	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.4%	30.3%	15.3%	12.7%	17.3%	12.0%	R	R	0.0%	1027	0.0%	1027	
Liaison Psychiatry	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	16.2%	23.9%	28.4%	19.6%	0.0%	R	0.0%	352	0.0%	352	
Liaison Psycho Oncology	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	5.2%	12.4%	24.8%	28.6%	22.4%	R	0.0%	210	0.0%	210	
Medical Psychology	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	13.1%	17.7%	20.9%	24.5%	11.7%	7.1%	R	0.0%	282	0.0%	282	
Mental Health Triage Service	0.0%	R	2.9%	11.4%	30.5%	21.6%	15.2%	12.3%	4.3%	1.1%	R	R	1332	0.0%	1332	
METT Centre and Linnaeus Nursery	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	23.5%	26.5%	26.5%	R	R	R	0.0%	102	0.0%	102	
MH Inpatient Rehabilitation Service	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	20.0%	26.3%	22.5%	16.3%	R	0.0%	0.0%	80	0.0%	80	
PAVE	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	R	27.8%	R	R	R	R	0.0%	36	0.0%	36	
Perinatal Mental Health Service	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0	
Personality Disorder Service	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	39.7%	28.6%	18.3%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	252	0.0%	252	
Place of Safety Assessment Unit	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	30.4%	22.8%	24.1%	R	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	79	0.0%	79	
Refuge	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	0	
Single Point of Access (SPA)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	30.5%	23.2%	21.0%	15.5%	5.7%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	1463	0.0%	1463	
Triage Car Service / EMAS	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	28.8%	25.0%	20.1%	15.2%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	184	0.0%	184	

AMH/LD: Adult Mental Health and Learning Disability services (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to this benchmark

* percentages calculated by row; total known is the base for the percentage and represents total of known age and gender (males)

† totals for individual service lines will exceed those for the service area overall as individuals may use services in more than one service line

R: Redacted

Table 14: AMH&LD's service users analysed by ethnicity and service line, compared against the overall profile of AMH&LD's service users

Area	Ethnicity*															Total known	Not known	Grand total	
	White			Asian British				Black British			Mixed			Other					
	British	Irish	Other	Bangladeshi	Indian	Pakistani	Other	African	Caribbean	Other	White / Asian	White / African	White / Caribbean	Other	Chinese	Any Other			
AMH/LD Overall†	77.6%	0.6%	2.6%	0.5%	9.3%	1.1%	2.1%	1.1%	1.0%	0.5%	0.4%	0.3%	0.9%	0.7%	0.2%	1.2%	17207	28.2%	23953
Acquired Brain Injury Service	R	0.0%	R	0.0%	R	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	27	20.6%	34
ADHD Service	90.9%	R	2.2%	0.0%	1.6%	R	R	R	R	0.0%	R	R	R	R	R	R	684	52.1%	1429
Adult General Psychiatry	57.3%	R	R	R	22.8%	R	3.3%	R	4.2%	R	0.0%	R	R	R	0.0%	R	307	6.4%	328
Adult General Psychiatry - Community / Outpatient	77.5%	0.6%	2.2%	0.6%	10.4%	1.1%	1.9%	1.0%	0.9%	0.5%	0.4%	0.2%	0.9%	0.6%	0.2%	1.0%	8649	28.0%	12006
Adult General Psychiatry - Inpatient	68.0%	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	0.0%	R	97	10.2%	108
Aspergers	82.5%	R	R	0.0%	7.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	0.0%	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	171	62.6%	457
Assertive Outreach	60.5%	R	3.6%	R	14.3%	R	3.6%	3.4%	6.2%	R	R	R	R	R	0.0%	R	385	3.8%	400
Clinical Neuropsychology	74.5%	R	R	R	14.0%	R	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	157	25.2%	210
Cognitive Behavioural Psychotherapy	81.6%	R	2.2%	0.0%	6.9%	R	2.2%	R	0.0%	R	R	R	R	R	0.0%	R	640	25.7%	861
Criminal Justice Liaison and Diversion - Victim First	72.6%	0.0%	R	0.0%	R	0.0%	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	R	73	55.8%	165
Criminal Justice Liaison and Diversion Service	76.5%	1.2%	3.8%	R	5.0%	R	2.4%	1.8%	1.9%	R	R	R	1.3%	1.1%	R	1.3%	978	13.5%	1131
Dynamic Psychotherapy Service	84.6%	R	R	R	7.4%	R	R	0.0%	R	R	R	0.0%	R	R	0.0%	R	448	17.8%	545
Forensic Community and Outpatients	64.9%	R	R	R	R	R	R	5.0%	6.4%	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	202	15.8%	240
Forensic Inpatients	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16	5.9%	17
Homeless Service (City)	75.6%	R	6.9%	R	4.4%	R	R	R	R	R	0.0%	R	R	R	0.0%	R	275	15.9%	327
Huntington's Disease	R	0.0%	R	0.0%	R	0.0%	R	0.0%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	166	6.7%	178
Integrated Care - Mental Health	80.5%	R	3.1%	0.0%	10.4%	R	R	R	R	R	0.0%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	R	415	11.1%	467
Learning Disability Services	78.3%	R	1.5%	R	10.6%	1.1%	2.9%	R	1.1%	R	R	R	0.7%	R	R	0.7%	1501	18.6%	1845
Liaison Psychiatry	80.2%	R	R	R	11.1%	R	3.2%	R	R	R	0.0%	R	R	R	R	R	585	37.0%	928
Liaison Psycho Oncology	84.0%	R	R	R	8.8%	R	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	0.0%	R	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	374	34.8%	574
Medical Psychology	69.6%	R	3.5%	0.0%	15.1%	R	R	3.8%	R	R	0.0%	R	R	R	R	R	451	49.4%	892
Mental Health Triage Service	78.5%	0.5%	3.6%	R	6.0%	1.1%	2.7%	0.9%	0.7%	0.9%	R	R	1.0%	0.7%	R	2.0%	2272	20.5%	2858
METT Centre and Linnaeus Nursery	70.1%	R	R	0.0%	15.5%	R	R	R	R	R	0.0%	R	0.0%	R	0.0%	R	174	9.4%	192
MH Inpatient Rehabilitation Service	64.7%	0.0%	R	R	15.8%	R	R	R	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	0.0%	R	133	2.2%	136
PAVE	79.0%	R	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	R	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	0.0%	62	19.5%	77
Perinatal Mental Health Service	75.7%	0.0%	3.6%	R	7.2%	R	2.2%	R	R	R	R	R	2.2%	R	R	R	503	10.5%	562
Personality Disorder Service	88.4%	R	2.0%	R	3.7%	R	R	R	R	R	R	0.0%	1.3%	R	R	R	836	14.7%	980
Place of Safety Assessment Unit	66.3%	0.0%	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	0.0%	R	0.0%	R	R	0.0%	R	101	15.1%	119
Refuge	64.1%	0.0%	R	0.0%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	0.0%	R	0.0%	R	0.0%	R	39	18.8%	48
Single Point of Access (SPA)	77.8%	0.5%	2.8%	0.5%	7.2%	1.2%	2.7%	1.4%	0.8%	0.8%	R	R	1.2%	0.6%	0.5%	1.5%	2206	29.9%	3146
Triage Car Service / EMAS	68.7%	R	4.5%	R	9.6%	R	R	3.8%	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	291	11.8%	330

AMH/LD: Adult Mental Health and Learning Disability services (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to this benchmark

* percentages calculated by row; total known is the base for the percentage and represents total of known ethnicity (all persons)

† totals for individual service lines will exceed those for the service area overall as individuals may use services in more than one service line

R: Redacted

4.1.4 Service use in Community and Health Services analysed by service line

Table 15: CHS’s service users analysed by age and service line, compared against the overall profile of CHS’s service users

Service	Age Band (years)*													Total known	Not known	Grand total
	0 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 59	60 to 69	70 to 79	80 to 89	90 +				
CHS Overall†	0.1%	0.3%	0.7%	1.5%	5.4%	6.6%	8.8%	12.9%	15.7%	19.9%	20.0%	8.1%	112637	0.0%	112637	
Community Hospitals Inpatient Service	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	2.4%	7.1%	21.9%	45.9%	21.8%	3271	0.0%	3271	
Community Nursing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	4.6%	5.1%	6.2%	9.1%	13.4%	20.0%	26.5%	14.4%	42299	0.0%	42299	
Community Therapy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	1.4%	2.5%	5.8%	11.0%	23.3%	38.4%	16.5%	9494	0.0%	9494	
Contenance Nursing Service	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	2.3%	2.7%	4.2%	7.3%	11.0%	20.2%	34.2%	18.0%	8988	0.0%	8988	
Falls Prevention Service	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	0.0%	R	2.1%	7.2%	24.5%	49.6%	16.1%	1968	0.0%	1968	
Heart Failure / Pulmonary Rehabilitation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	2.3%	7.9%	17.8%	32.4%	30.5%	8.1%	3867	0.0%	3867	
Hospice at Home / Community Therapy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.9%	1.4%	3.0%	5.8%	11.6%	23.3%	37.2%	16.6%	13951	0.0%	13951	
Intensive Community Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.9%	1.4%	2.4%	5.0%	10.4%	22.3%	38.0%	19.5%	10999	0.0%	10999	
Intermediate Care and RIT	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	1.0%	2.1%	5.0%	9.7%	21.9%	38.4%	21.1%	6544	0.0%	6544	
Long Term Conditions	0.4%	R	R	R	0.3%	0.9%	2.3%	7.9%	19.2%	32.3%	28.3%	7.9%	5603	0.0%	5603	
Central Referral Hub	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	0.0%	13	0.0%	13	
Community Teams	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	14.5%	35.4%	38.5%	9.6%	2726	0.0%	2726	
FOPALS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	35.0%	43.4%	13.6%	583	0.0%	583	
MHSOP Functional Assessment	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	19.8%	48.9%	25.3%	R	182	0.0%	182	
In-Reach	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	20.3%	48.7%	27.4%	661	0.0%	661	
Memory	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	2.8%	9.2%	29.0%	45.1%	13.4%	5833	0.0%	5833	
Organic Assessment	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	0.0%	R	35.4%	43.4%	11.5%	113	0.0%	113	
Outpatient Service	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	1.4%	12.9%	36.5%	36.9%	11.8%	2834	0.0%	2834	
Unscheduled Care Service	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	19.6%	38.9%	31.6%	R	342	0.0%	342	
Night Service	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	1.5%	R	2.6%	6.0%	11.1%	20.9%	35.1%	21.8%	1146	0.0%	1146	
Oxygen Service	R	R	R	R	R	1.4%	2.9%	7.2%	16.6%	33.3%	26.2%	9.8%	870	0.0%	870	
Phlebotomy Service	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.3%	0.5%	1.4%	3.6%	7.9%	19.6%	41.4%	25.1%	17835	0.0%	17835	
Physiotherapy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	9.0%	12.4%	16.2%	20.6%	18.6%	14.9%	5.8%	0.5%	28518	0.0%	28518	
Podiatry	0.2%	0.9%	2.7%	2.6%	4.4%	4.8%	7.8%	13.6%	17.1%	21.2%	19.4%	5.0%	27288	0.0%	27288	
Primary Care Coordinators	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	0.6%	R	0.9%	1.9%	4.3%	19.1%	47.2%	25.6%	4619	0.0%	4619	
Reablement	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	3.8%	9.5%	26.2%	42.7%	15.5%	737	0.0%	737	
Respiratory Specialist Service	R	0.0%	0.0%	R	0.7%	R	2.3%	9.7%	24.5%	37.8%	21.1%	3.1%	1923	0.0%	1923	
Single Point of Access (SPA)	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.7%	3.3%	3.9%	5.3%	8.8%	13.3%	21.4%	29.1%	14.1%	50970	0.0%	50970	
Specialist Palliative Care Nurses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	4.0%	9.1%	20.1%	29.4%	28.5%	7.2%	2196	0.0%	2196	
Specialist Services	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.9%	1.1%	2.2%	4.9%	8.7%	19.5%	39.4%	23.0%	12286	0.0%	12286	
Speech Therapy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	1.7%	3.0%	4.9%	8.6%	13.2%	22.2%	29.0%	16.9%	6093	0.0%	6093	
Stroke & Neuro	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	3.8%	5.1%	8.9%	15.7%	20.2%	23.8%	17.5%	4.1%	3060	0.0%	3060	
Tissue Viability / Care Home Project	R	R	R	0.5%	1.0%	1.7%	3.3%	5.6%	9.9%	19.8%	34.6%	23.1%	2623	0.0%	2623	
Unscheduled Care Contacts Delivered by ICS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	1.6%	2.6%	5.0%	9.6%	20.0%	36.1%	23.5%	3288	0.0%	3288	

CHS: Community Health Services (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to this benchmark

* percentages calculated by row; total known is the base for the percentage and represents total of known age (all persons)

† totals for individual service lines will exceed those for the service area overall as individuals may use services in more than one service line

R: Redacted

Table 16: CHS’s female service users analysed by age and service line, compared against the overall profile of CHS’s female service users

Service	Age Band (years)*													Total known	Not known	Grand total
	0 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 59	60 to 69	70 to 79	80 to 89	90 +				
CHS Overall†	0.1%	0.2%	0.6%	1.3%	4.9%	6.5%	9.1%	13.1%	14.7%	18.8%	20.8%	10.0%	63406	0.0%	63406	
Community Hospitals Inpatient Service	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	1.7%	5.7%	19.8%	47.6%	24.4%	1939	0.0%	1939	
Community Nursing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	3.6%	4.6%	6.2%	8.2%	11.7%	18.4%	28.3%	18.4%	22890	0.0%	22890	
Community Therapy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	1.3%	2.6%	5.4%	10.6%	22.0%	39.3%	18.0%	5834	0.0%	5834	
Contenance Nursing Service	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	1.8%	2.8%	4.7%	7.6%	10.6%	18.6%	33.8%	19.9%	6136	0.0%	6136	
Falls Prevention Service	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	6.7%	25.0%	49.3%	16.1%	1188	0.0%	1188	
Heart Failure / Pulmonary Rehabilitation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	2.1%	7.7%	16.7%	29.9%	31.8%	10.7%	1649	0.0%	1649	
Hospice at Home / Community Therapy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	1.5%	3.0%	5.2%	10.8%	22.0%	38.3%	18.3%	8477	0.0%	8477	
Intensive Community Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	1.3%	2.3%	4.5%	8.8%	20.4%	39.0%	22.8%	6239	0.0%	6239	
Intermediate Care and RIT	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.9%	2.0%	4.3%	8.1%	19.7%	39.3%	25.0%	3655	0.0%	3655	
Long Term Conditions	0.5%	R	R	R	R	1.0%	2.2%	7.7%	18.8%	29.5%	29.7%	10.1%	2593	0.0%	2593	
Central Referral Hub	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	0.0%	R	0.0%	R	
Community Teams	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	13.2%	34.5%	39.4%	11.3%	1659	0.0%	1659	
FOPALS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	35.2%	41.6%	15.8%	298	0.0%	298	
MHSOP Functional Assessment	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	49.5%	26.8%	R	97	0.0%	97	
In-Reach	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	16.7%	45.8%	34.4%	395	0.0%	395	
Memory	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	2.6%	7.3%	27.5%	46.7%	15.5%	3409	0.0%	3409	
Organic Assessment	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	34.5%	46.0%	R	87	0.0%	87	
Outpatient Service	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	10.9%	35.0%	38.0%	14.5%	1781	0.0%	1781	
Unscheduled Care Service	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	20.4%	36.0%	32.7%	R	211	0.0%	211	
Night Service	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	3.3%	6.3%	11.6%	20.6%	32.6%	22.8%	509	0.0%	509	
Oxygen Service	R	R	R	R	R	R	3.1%	7.5%	15.0%	31.3%	27.5%	12.3%	480	0.0%	480	
Phlebotomy Service	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.5%	1.4%	3.0%	6.4%	17.7%	42.3%	28.5%	11249	0.0%	11249	
Physiotherapy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	8.3%	11.9%	16.4%	21.3%	18.6%	15.2%	6.0%	0.5%	17321	0.0%	17321	
Podiatry	0.2%	0.9%	2.6%	2.2%	4.4%	5.2%	8.7%	14.8%	16.3%	20.0%	18.8%	5.9%	14750	0.0%	14750	
Primary Care Coordinators	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	0.7%	R	0.9%	1.4%	3.6%	17.8%	46.8%	28.6%	2732	0.0%	2732	
Reablement	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	3.0%	11.0%	23.8%	44.7%	14.8%	492	0.0%	492	
Respiratory Specialist Service	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	2.1%	10.4%	25.2%	35.7%	22.1%	3.2%	925	0.0%	925	
Single Point of Access (SPA)	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.5%	2.7%	3.6%	5.2%	7.9%	11.7%	19.6%	31.0%	17.6%	28531	0.0%	28531	
Specialist Palliative Care Nurses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	4.1%	9.2%	20.5%	26.0%	29.2%	8.7%	1074	0.0%	1074	
Specialist Services	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	0.7%	1.1%	2.1%	4.4%	7.5%	17.5%	40.1%	26.2%	7626	0.0%	7626	
Speech Therapy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	1.9%	3.9%	5.1%	7.5%	11.5%	20.6%	28.3%	20.8%	3135	0.0%	3135	
Stroke & Neuro	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	3.7%	6.1%	10.5%	15.1%	19.9%	20.6%	18.5%	5.0%	1473	0.0%	1473	
Tissue Viability / Care Home Project	R	R	R	R	1.0%	1.4%	3.0%	4.7%	8.5%	16.9%	35.9%	27.8%	1544	0.0%	1544	
Unscheduled Care Contacts Delivered by ICS	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	1.7%	R	2.5%	4.3%	7.9%	17.4%	36.8%	27.8%	1878	0.0%	1878	

CHS: Community Health Services (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to this benchmark

* percentages calculated by row; total known is the base for the percentage and represents total of known age and gender (females)

† totals for individual service lines will exceed those for the service area overall as individuals may use services in more than one service line

R: Redacted

Table 17: CHS's male service users analysed by age and service line, compared against the overall profile of CHS's male service users

Service	Age Band (years)*													Total known	Not known	Grand total
	0 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 59	60 to 69	70 to 79	80 to 89	90 +				
CHS Overall†	0.2%	0.3%	0.8%	1.8%	6.0%	6.7%	8.5%	12.7%	17.1%	21.4%	18.9%	5.7%	49201	0.0%	49201	
Community Hospitals Inpatient Service	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	3.4%	9.1%	24.9%	43.5%	18.0%	1332	0.0%	1332	
Community Nursing	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	5.8%	5.6%	6.3%	10.1%	15.4%	21.9%	24.4%	9.6%	19409	0.0%	19409	
Community Therapy	0.0%	R	0.0%	R	1.1%	1.6%	2.3%	6.4%	11.8%	25.4%	37.0%	14.1%	3660	0.0%	3660	
Continance Nursing Service	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	3.3%	R	3.1%	6.7%	12.0%	23.5%	35.0%	14.1%	2852	0.0%	2852	
Falls Prevention Service	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	0.0%	R	R	7.8%	23.7%	50.0%	16.2%	780	0.0%	780	
Heart Failure / Pulmonary Rehabilitation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	2.4%	8.0%	18.6%	34.3%	29.6%	6.3%	2218	0.0%	2218	
Hospice at Home / Community Therapy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	1.1%	1.4%	2.8%	6.7%	13.0%	25.3%	35.4%	14.0%	5474	0.0%	5474	
Intensive Community Support	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	1.5%	2.4%	5.7%	12.3%	24.7%	36.8%	15.2%	4760	0.0%	4760	
Intermediate Care and RIT	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	1.1%	2.2%	5.8%	11.8%	24.8%	37.1%	16.2%	2889	0.0%	2889	
Long Term Conditions	0.4%	R	R	R	R	0.9%	2.4%	8.0%	19.4%	34.8%	27.2%	6.0%	3010	0.0%	3010	
Central Referral Hub	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	0.0%	R	0.0%	R	
Community Teams	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	16.5%	36.8%	37.1%	7.0%	1067	0.0%	1067	
FOPALS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	0.0%	R	34.7%	45.3%	11.2%	285	0.0%	285	
Functional Assessment	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	48.2%	23.5%	R	85	0.0%	85	
In-Reach	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	25.6%	53.0%	16.9%	266	0.0%	266	
Memory	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	3.1%	11.8%	31.1%	42.8%	10.6%	2424	0.0%	2424	
Organic Assessment	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	0.0%	R	38.5%	R	0.0%	26	0.0%	26	
Outpatient Service	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	16.3%	38.8%	35.1%	7.2%	1053	0.0%	1053	
Unscheduled Care Service	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	18.3%	43.5%	29.8%	R	131	0.0%	131	
Night Service	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	2.0%	5.8%	10.7%	21.0%	37.0%	21.0%	637	0.0%	637	
Oxygen Service	R	R	R	R	R	R	2.6%	6.9%	18.5%	35.9%	24.6%	6.7%	390	0.0%	390	
Phlebotomy Service	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	0.6%	1.5%	4.7%	10.4%	22.9%	40.0%	19.2%	6586	0.0%	6586	
Physiotherapy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	10.2%	13.0%	15.7%	19.6%	18.6%	14.5%	5.6%	0.4%	11170	0.0%	11170	
Podiatry	0.3%	0.9%	3.0%	3.1%	4.3%	4.4%	6.8%	12.3%	18.1%	22.7%	20.2%	4.0%	12536	0.0%	12536	
Primary Care Coordinators	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	0.6%	R	0.8%	2.6%	5.2%	20.9%	47.7%	21.4%	1887	0.0%	1887	
Reablement	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	0.0%	R	R	6.5%	31.0%	38.8%	16.7%	245	0.0%	245	
Respiratory Specialist Service	R	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	2.6%	9.1%	23.9%	39.7%	20.1%	3.0%	998	0.0%	998	
Single Point of Access (SPA)	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.9%	4.0%	4.3%	5.4%	9.8%	15.5%	23.6%	26.6%	9.7%	22438	0.0%	22438	
Specialist Palliative Care Nurses	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	3.8%	8.9%	19.7%	32.7%	27.7%	5.8%	1122	0.0%	1122	
Specialist Services	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	1.2%	1.1%	2.3%	5.6%	10.8%	22.8%	38.2%	17.7%	4660	0.0%	4660	
Speech Therapy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	1.6%	2.2%	4.7%	9.8%	15.0%	23.8%	29.6%	12.8%	2958	0.0%	2958	
Stroke & Neuro	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	3.8%	4.1%	7.5%	16.3%	20.5%	26.8%	16.4%	3.2%	1587	0.0%	1587	
Tissue Viability / Care Home Project	R	R	R	R	1.0%	2.2%	3.8%	6.8%	11.9%	23.9%	32.7%	16.2%	1079	0.0%	1079	
Unscheduled Care Contacts Delivered by ICS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	1.6%	2.6%	6.1%	11.9%	23.5%	35.1%	17.9%	1410	0.0%	1410	

CHS: Community Health Services (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to this benchmark

* percentages calculated by row; total known is the base for the percentage and represents total of known age and gender (males)

† totals for individual service lines will exceed those for the service area overall as individuals may use services in more than one service line

R: Redacted

Table 18: CHS's service users analysed by ethnicity and service line, compared against the overall profile of CHS's service users

Service	Ethnicity*															Total known	Not known	Grand total	
	White British			Asian British				Black British			Mixed			Other					
	British	Irish	Other	Bangladeshi	Indian	Pakistani	Other	African	Caribbean	Other	White / Asian	White / African	White / Caribbean	Other	Chinese	Any Other			
CHS Overall†	78.1%	0.7%	2.4%	0.4%	12.8%	0.8%	1.5%	0.4%	0.6%	0.4%	0.2%	0.3%	0.4%	0.3%	0.2%	0.4%	95938	14.8%	112637
Community Hospitals Inpatient Service	90.2%	0.8%	1.8%	R	5.1%	R	0.4%	0.0%	R	R	R	R	0.4%	0.0%	R	R	3115	4.8%	3271
Community Nursing	78.3%	0.7%	2.5%	0.3%	13.2%	0.9%	1.3%	0.1%	0.4%	0.4%	0.2%	0.6%	0.5%	0.1%	0.1%	0.3%	35628	15.8%	42299
Community Therapy	80.5%	0.7%	1.7%	0.3%	13.1%	0.6%	1.1%	0.0%	R	0.5%	R	0.4%	0.5%	0.0%	R	R	8989	5.3%	9494
Continence Nursing Service	77.7%	0.8%	1.8%	0.2%	15.0%	0.9%	1.3%	R	0.3%	0.4%	R	0.5%	0.6%	0.0%	R	0.2%	8498	5.5%	8988
Falls Prevention Service	96.3%	0.6%	1.6%	R	0.6%	0.0%	R	R	R	R	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	1706	13.3%	1968
Heart Failure / Pulmonary Rehabilitation	85.5%	0.9%	2.0%	0.3%	8.6%	0.5%	0.6%	0.0%	R	0.4%	0.0%	0.3%	0.5%	0.0%	R	R	3518	9.0%	3867
Hospice at Home / Community Therapy	82.2%	0.8%	1.8%	0.3%	11.5%	0.5%	1.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%	0.3%	0.5%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	13210	5.3%	13951
Intensive Community Support	87.6%	0.9%	1.8%	0.2%	7.1%	0.4%	0.6%	0.0%	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	10226	7.0%	10999
Intermediate Care and RIT	91.3%	0.8%	1.6%	R	4.5%	0.3%	0.5%	0.0%	R	0.3%	0.0%	R	0.2%	0.0%	R	R	6085	7.0%	6544
Long Term Conditions	86.5%	1.0%	1.9%	0.3%	7.8%	0.5%	0.6%	0.0%	0.2%	0.3%	0.0%	0.3%	0.4%	R	R	R	5028	10.3%	5603
Central Referral Hub	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12	7.7%	13
Community Teams	84.5%	1.1%	2.5%	R	7.6%	0.7%	1.3%	R	0.8%	R	R	R	0.5%	R	R	0.0%	2635	3.3%	2726
FOPALS	90.0%	R	R	0.0%	5.0%	R	R	0.0%	R	0.0%	R	0.0%	R	R	R	R	558	4.3%	583
Functional Assessment	86.0%	R	R	0.0%	7.8%	R	R	0.0%	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	179	1.6%	182
In-Reach	93.3%	R	2.5%	0.0%	2.2%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	0.0%	0.0%	R	641	3.0%	661
Memory	84.5%	1.1%	2.2%	0.2%	8.5%	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%	0.6%	R	0.0%	R	0.4%	R	R	0.3%	5396	7.5%	5833
Organic Assessment	87.3%	R	R	0.0%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	0.0%	110	2.7%	113
Outpatient Service	85.5%	1.3%	1.8%	0.0%	7.6%	0.5%	1.4%	R	0.5%	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	2706	4.5%	2834
Unscheduled Care Service	88.1%	R	R	0.0%	4.6%	R	R	0.0%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	329	3.8%	342
Night Service	89.6%	R	1.6%	0.0%	6.0%	R	1.0%	0.0%	R	R	0.0%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1086	5.2%	1146
Oxygen Service	87.9%	R	1.5%	R	7.3%	R	R	R	R	0.0%	R	R	R	R	R	R	785	9.8%	870
Phlebotomy Service	85.1%	0.8%	2.0%	0.2%	9.1%	0.4%	0.8%	0.0%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%	0.1%	0.2%	15880	11.0%	17835
Physiotherapy	79.4%	0.5%	2.9%	0.5%	10.2%	0.7%	1.6%	1.0%	0.5%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.3%	0.7%	0.2%	0.6%	26186	8.2%	28518
Podiatry	74.6%	0.9%	1.7%	0.4%	16.5%	0.8%	1.4%	0.5%	1.0%	0.3%	0.3%	0.2%	0.4%	0.3%	0.2%	0.4%	24266	11.1%	27288
Primary Care Coordinators	88.0%	0.9%	1.9%	R	6.7%	0.4%	0.5%	0.0%	R	0.3%	0.0%	R	0.5%	0.0%	R	R	4226	8.5%	4619
Reablement	65.1%	R	2.5%	R	24.3%	2.2%	2.2%	R	R	R	0.0%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	724	1.8%	737
Respiratory Specialist Service	93.1%	1.1%	1.6%	R	2.8%	R	R	0.0%	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	1648	14.3%	1923
Single Point of Access (SPA)	79.8%	0.8%	2.4%	0.3%	12.2%	0.8%	1.3%	0.1%	0.4%	0.4%	0.1%	0.5%	0.5%	0.1%	0.1%	0.3%	43258	15.1%	50970
Specialist Palliative Care Nurses	87.1%	0.9%	1.8%	R	7.2%	0.6%	0.6%	0.0%	R	R	0.0%	R	R	0.0%	R	0.0%	2095	4.6%	2196
Specialist Services	84.4%	0.8%	1.6%	0.1%	9.8%	0.6%	0.9%	0.0%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%	0.1%	0.3%	11195	8.9%	12286
Speech Therapy	82.8%	0.8%	2.2%	0.3%	8.9%	0.6%	2.1%	R	0.3%	0.4%	R	0.2%	0.4%	R	R	0.4%	5360	12.0%	6093
Stroke & Neuro	78.6%	0.4%	2.3%	R	12.9%	0.7%	1.8%	0.0%	R	0.8%	R	0.9%	0.4%	R	R	R	2841	7.2%	3060
Tissue Viability / Care Home Project	88.9%	0.7%	1.4%	R	6.1%	R	0.5%	0.0%	R	R	R	R	0.5%	R	R	R	2464	6.1%	2623
Unscheduled Care Contacts Delivered by ICS	89.7%	0.7%	2.2%	R	4.8%	R	0.9%	0.0%	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	2996	8.9%	3288

CHS: Community Health Services (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to this benchmark

* percentages calculated by row; total known is the base for the percentage and represents total of known ethnicity (all persons)

† totals for individual service lines will exceed those for the service area overall as individuals may use services in more than one service line

R: Redacted

4.1.5 Service use in Families, Young Person and Children’s services analysed by service line

Table 19: FYPC’s service users analysed by age and service line, compared against the overall profile of FYPC’s service users

Service	Age Band (years)*													Total known	Not known	Grand total
	0 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 59	60 to 69	70 to 79	80 to 89	90 +				
FYPC Overall†	29.6%	18.0%	14.8%	9.7%	10.2%	11.4%	2.8%	0.8%	0.8%	0.7%	0.7%	0.4%	196428	0.0%	196428	
0-19 Healthy Child Prog’/Health Visit & School Nurse	37.4%	13.7%	10.1%	7.9%	13.0%	14.8%	3.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	144637	0.0%	144637	
Audiology	50.2%	41.9%	6.6%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6721	0.0%	6721	
Access Team	0.5%	12.6%	44.2%	42.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4077	0.0%	4077	
Crisis and Home Treatment / Bed Management	0.0%	R	33.4%	63.6%	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	940	0.0%	940	
Eating Disorders	0.0%	R	R	74.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	308	0.0%	308	
General	1.2%	11.0%	39.0%	48.6%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7198	0.0%	7198	
Inpatient	0.0%	0.0%	14.1%	85.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	99	0.0%	99	
Learning Disability Service	R	R	41.9%	29.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	425	0.0%	425	
Mental Health Triage Service	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	R	0.0%	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	18	0.0%	18	
Outpatient & Community	R	3.2%	36.5%	60.0%	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3269	0.0%	3269	
Paediatric Psychology	R	16.5%	43.7%	33.3%	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	648	0.0%	648	
Primary Mental Health Contract	0.0%	9.4%	49.5%	41.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	309	0.0%	309	
Young Peoples Team	2.0%	12.5%	35.0%	50.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	537	0.0%	537	
Child And Family Support Service (CAFSS)	18.7%	40.4%	31.0%	R	0.0%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	171	0.0%	171	
Children’s Community Services	41.1%	27.1%	22.5%	9.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	82956	0.0%	82956	
Children’s Occupational Therapy	19.1%	48.2%	27.1%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2314	0.0%	2314	
Children’s Phlebotomy	22.5%	36.8%	31.6%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8786	0.0%	8786	
Children’s Physiotherapy	40.0%	27.9%	20.5%	10.5%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1399	0.0%	1399	
Children’s Respiratory Physiotherapy	R	33.0%	25.9%	28.6%	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	112	0.0%	112	
Children’s SALT	32.8%	47.0%	15.4%	4.3%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10583	0.0%	10583	
Diana Children’s Service	25.8%	24.7%	24.5%	23.2%	R	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1565	0.0%	1565	
Dietetics	0.6%	5.7%	10.2%	1.7%	3.9%	10.1%	14.6%	21.8%	20.8%	9.5%	1.0%	0.0%	2953	0.0%	2953	
Eating Disorders Service	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	13.8%	46.8%	18.3%	13.1%	5.6%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	464	0.0%	464	
Leics Children’s Medical and Allied Health Prof’s	41.6%	51.1%	6.0%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2061	0.0%	2061	
Leicestershire Community Paediatrics	17.6%	37.1%	29.6%	13.5%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6023	0.0%	6023	
LNDS & HENS	14.7%	6.2%	3.8%	2.7%	5.2%	5.2%	7.1%	9.0%	10.1%	12.2%	15.5%	8.4%	8998	0.0%	8998	
Looked After Children	22.1%	17.8%	24.3%	31.5%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2808	0.0%	2808	
Paediatric Medical Services	21.1%	39.6%	29.5%	9.1%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5816	0.0%	5816	
PIER	0.0%	0.0%	R	11.6%	50.7%	24.3%	7.8%	4.0%	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	843	0.0%	843	
Travelling Families Services	25.4%	12.9%	7.9%	5.0%	14.6%	8.5%	8.9%	5.0%	4.8%	4.6%	2.1%	R	519	0.0%	519	

FYPC: Families, Young People and Children’s Services (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to this benchmark

* percentages calculated by row; total known is the base for the percentage and represents total of known age (all persons)

† totals for individual service lines will exceed those for the service area overall as individuals may use services in more than one service line

R: Redacted

Table 20: FYPC's female service users analysed by age and service line, compared against the overall profile of FYPC's female service users

Service	Age Band (years)*													Total known	Not known	Grand total
	0 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 59	60 to 69	70 to 79	80 to 89	90 +				
FYPC Overall†	23.4%	13.9%	13.3%	8.8%	14.1%	18.6%	4.3%	0.9%	0.8%	0.7%	0.8%	0.5%	118059	0.0%	118059	
0-19 Healthy Child Prog'/Health Visit & School Nurse	28.7%	10.2%	7.8%	6.7%	17.8%	23.8%	4.8%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	89624	0.0%	89624	
Audiology	43.1%	49.3%	6.1%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2689	0.0%	2689	
Access Team	R	R	38.6%	51.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2044	0.0%	2044	
Crisis and Home Treatment / Bed Management	0.0%	R	R	66.6%	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	580	0.0%	580	
Eating Disorders	0.0%	R	R	74.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	278	0.0%	278	
General	R	7.5%	34.8%	56.6%	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3508	0.0%	3508	
CAMHS Inpatient	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	67	0.0%	67	
Learning Disability Service	R	R	44.1%	34.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	118	0.0%	118	
Mental Health Triage Service	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8	0.0%	8	
Outpatient & Community	R	R	30.1%	67.1%	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1580	0.0%	1580	
Paediatric Psychology	R	13.2%	43.6%	37.5%	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	349	0.0%	349	
Primary Mental Health Contract	0.0%	8.7%	45.2%	46.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	208	0.0%	208	
Young Peoples Team	R	R	31.2%	57.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	218	0.0%	218	
Child And Family Support Service (CAFSS)	14.3%	44.0%	29.7%	R	0.0%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	91	0.0%	91	
Children's Community Services	37.5%	24.4%	27.1%	10.6%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	41942	0.0%	41942	
Children's Occupational Therapy	21.2%	44.1%	28.4%	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	0.0%	0.0%	689	0.0%	689	
Children's Phlebotomy	18.7%	35.2%	34.2%	11.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4335	0.0%	4335	
Children's Physiotherapy	42.4%	24.2%	21.1%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	596	0.0%	596	
Children's Respiratory Physiotherapy	R	40.0%	R	31.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	45	0.0%	45	
Children's SALT	33.9%	45.6%	15.3%	4.4%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3149	0.0%	3149	
Diana Children's Service	26.7%	25.7%	23.9%	21.7%	R	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	637	0.0%	637	
Dietetics	R	3.7%	6.8%	R	4.8%	11.6%	16.1%	23.9%	21.5%	9.0%	1.0%	0.0%	2027	0.0%	2027	
Eating Disorders Service	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	13.8%	46.3%	18.1%	13.4%	5.9%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	441	0.0%	441	
Leics Children's Medical and Allied Health Prof's	33.1%	59.1%	5.9%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	842	0.0%	842	
Leicestershire Community Paediatrics	20.4%	36.2%	26.5%	13.7%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1739	0.0%	1739	
LNDS & HENS	11.0%	4.0%	2.9%	2.9%	6.3%	6.3%	7.8%	9.5%	9.5%	12.0%	16.9%	10.8%	5067	0.0%	5067	
Looked After Children	23.6%	19.4%	24.5%	29.8%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1243	0.0%	1243	
Paediatric Medical Services	26.0%	36.8%	26.5%	10.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1752	0.0%	1752	
PIER	0.0%	0.0%	R	14.8%	39.4%	27.1%	11.3%	4.2%	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	310	0.0%	310	
Travelling Families Services	17.6%	8.7%	5.9%	4.6%	20.7%	12.4%	11.1%	6.5%	R	5.0%	R	0.0%	323	0.0%	323	

FYPC: Families, Young People and Children's Services (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to this benchmark
 * percentages calculated by row; total known is the base for the percentage and represents total of known age and gender (females)
 † totals for individual service lines will exceed those for the service area overall as individuals may use services in more than one service line
 R: Redacted

Table 21: FYPC's male service users analysed by age and service line, compared against the overall profile of FYPC's male service users

Service	Age Band (years)*												Total known	Not known	Grand total
	0 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 59	60 to 69	70 to 79	80 to 89	90 +			
FYPC Overall†	38.8%	24.4%	17.1%	11.1%	4.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.7%	0.8%	0.8%	0.7%	0.3%	78359	0.0%	78359
0-19 Healthy Child Prog'/Health Visit & School Nurse	51.4%	19.4%	13.9%	9.8%	5.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	55009	0.0%	55009
Audiology	54.9%	37.0%	6.9%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4032	0.0%	4032
Access Team	0.7%	16.2%	49.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2033	0.0%	2033
Crisis and Home Treatment / Bed Management	0.0%	5.8%	35.3%	58.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	360	0.0%	360
Eating Disorders	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	30	0.0%	30
General	R	14.2%	43.0%	41.0%	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3687	0.0%	3687
INPATIENT	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	32	0.0%	32
Learning Disability Service	R	29.6%	41.0%	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	307	0.0%	307
Mental Health Triage Service	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	R	0.0%	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	0.0%	R
Outpatient & Community	R	R	42.5%	53.3%	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1688	0.0%	1688
Paediatric Psychology	R	20.4%	43.8%	28.4%	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	299	0.0%	299
Primary Mental Health Contract	0.0%	10.9%	58.4%	30.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	101	0.0%	101
Young Peoples Team	R	R	37.6%	45.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	319	0.0%	319
Child And Family Support Service (CAFSS)	R	36.3%	32.5%	R	0.0%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	80	0.0%	80
Children's Community Services	44.8%	29.8%	17.8%	7.4%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	41010	0.0%	41010
Children's Occupational Therapy	18.2%	49.9%	26.6%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1625	0.0%	1625
Children's Phlebotomy	26.2%	38.4%	29.1%	6.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4451	0.0%	4451
Children's Physiotherapy	38.2%	30.8%	20.0%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	803	0.0%	803
Children's Respiratory Physiotherapy	R	28.4%	26.9%	26.9%	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	67	0.0%	67
Children's SALT	32.3%	47.6%	15.5%	4.2%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7434	0.0%	7434
Diana Children's Service	25.2%	24.0%	24.9%	24.2%	R	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	928	0.0%	928
Dietetics	R	10.2%	17.5%	2.5%	1.8%	6.6%	11.4%	17.2%	19.3%	10.8%	R	0.0%	926	0.0%	926
Eating Disorders Service	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	56.5%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	23	0.0%	23
Leics Children's Medical and Allied Health Prof's	47.4%	45.5%	6.0%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	0.0%	0.0%	1219	0.0%	1219
Leicestershire Community Paediatrics	16.5%	37.5%	30.8%	13.4%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4284	0.0%	4284
LNDS & HENS	19.5%	9.0%	4.9%	2.5%	3.7%	3.7%	6.1%	8.3%	10.9%	12.5%	13.6%	5.2%	3931	0.0%	3931
Looked After Children	21.0%	16.5%	24.1%	32.8%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1563	0.0%	1563
Paediatric Medical Services	19.0%	40.8%	30.8%	8.7%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4064	0.0%	4064
PIER	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.8%	57.2%	22.7%	5.8%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	533	0.0%	533
Travelling Families Services	38.3%	19.9%	11.2%	5.6%	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	196	0.0%	196

FYPC: Families, Young People and Children's Services (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to this benchmark
 * percentages calculated by row; total known is the base for the percentage and represents total of known age and gender (males)
 † totals for individual service lines will exceed those for the service area overall as individuals may use services in more than one service line
 R: Redacted

Table 22: FYPC's service users analysed by ethnicity and service line, compared against the overall profile of FYPC's service users

Service	Ethnicity*																Total known	Not known	Grand total
	British	White Irish	Other	Bangladeshi	Asian Indian	British Pakistani	Other	Black African	Black Caribbean	Other	White / Asian	White / Black African	Mixed White / Black Caribbean	Other	Chinese	Any Other			
FYPC Overall†	59.2%	0.2%	6.7%	1.2%	17.4%	2.5%	2.8%	0.1%	0.4%	0.9%	1.3%	2.1%	1.5%	1.3%	0.5%	1.7%	139247	29.1%	196428
0-19 Healthy Child Prog'/Health Visit & School Nurse	59.3%	0.2%	7.3%	1.2%	16.9%	2.5%	2.7%	0.0%	0.4%	0.9%	1.3%	2.2%	1.6%	1.3%	0.5%	1.6%	105829	26.8%	144637
Audiology	62.6%	0.0%	6.7%	1.2%	15.3%	2.2%	2.4%	0.0%	0.2%	0.9%	1.5%	1.9%	1.6%	1.4%	0.3%	1.6%	5499	18.2%	6721
Access Team	84.8%	R	2.3%	R	4.6%	0.8%	1.7%	R	R	0.6%	0.6%	1.0%	2.4%	R	R	0.4%	2934	28.0%	4077
Crisis and Home Treatment / Bed Management	83.2%	R	2.3%	R	4.7%	R	2.6%	0.0%	R	0.0%	R	R	R	R	R	1.8%	742	21.1%	940
Eating Disorders	83.5%	0.0%	R	0.0%	9.3%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	0.0%	R	0.0%	0.0%	R	236	23.4%	308
General	81.5%	R	2.7%	0.4%	4.9%	0.9%	1.6%	0.0%	0.3%	1.0%	1.2%	1.0%	2.3%	0.7%	R	1.1%	4812	33.1%	7198
Inpatient	81.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	R	R	0.0%	R	79	20.2%	99
Learning Disability Service	71.5%	R	4.0%	R	8.6%	R	R	0.0%	R	R	R	R	R	R	0.0%	R	302	28.9%	425
Mental Health Triage Service	R	0.0%	R	0.0%	R	0.0%	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16	11.1%	18
Outpatient & Community	83.3%	R	3.2%	0.0%	4.2%	R	1.1%	0.0%	R	R	0.9%	0.8%	2.8%	0.7%	R	1.1%	2040	37.6%	3269
Paediatric Psychology	71.8%	0.0%	3.2%	R	6.9%	R	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	5.8%	3.8%	R	R	R	0.0%	R	504	22.2%	648
Primary Mental Health Contract	84.6%	R	R	0.0%	4.6%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	241	22.0%	309
Young Peoples Team	79.0%	R	R	R	R	R	R	0.0%	R	R	R	R	4.3%	R	R	R	352	34.5%	537
Child And Family Support Service (CAFSS)	73.2%	R	R	R	10.9%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	R	R	0.0%	R	138	19.3%	171
Children's Community Services	57.6%	0.1%	6.6%	1.4%	18.2%	2.7%	2.9%	0.0%	0.4%	1.0%	1.6%	2.1%	1.8%	1.6%	0.4%	1.7%	59909	27.8%	82956
Children's Occupational Therapy	71.7%	R	4.4%	1.1%	10.3%	1.6%	2.3%	0.0%	R	1.0%	1.4%	1.2%	2.1%	0.7%	R	1.6%	1662	28.2%	2314
Children's Phlebotomy	30.2%	R	5.7%	3.4%	37.3%	6.4%	4.9%	0.0%	0.5%	0.9%	1.7%	3.2%	1.3%	1.4%	R	2.7%	6473	26.3%	8786
Children's Physiotherapy	64.2%	R	5.4%	1.5%	15.5%	2.4%	2.6%	0.0%	R	R	R	1.2%	1.3%	1.4%	R	2.3%	1103	21.2%	1399
Children's Respiratory Physiotherapy	61.5%	R	R	R	12.5%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	96	14.3%	112
Children's SALT	63.4%	R	5.6%	1.3%	13.8%	2.4%	2.7%	0.0%	R	1.0%	1.4%	2.4%	1.8%	1.4%	R	1.8%	8089	23.6%	10583
Diana Children's Service	55.9%	R	5.1%	2.9%	17.4%	3.6%	4.1%	R	R	1.9%	1.4%	2.5%	1.3%	1.1%	R	1.8%	1221	22.0%	1565
Dietetics	59.4%	0.4%	2.3%	0.5%	23.4%	2.1%	4.0%	1.8%	1.2%	1.1%	0.5%	0.7%	R	0.8%	R	1.4%	2582	12.6%	2953
Eating Disorders Service	88.8%	R	R	R	4.5%	R	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	R	0.0%	R	R	0.0%	R	358	22.8%	464
Leics Children's Medical and Allied Health Prof's	60.3%	0.0%	6.7%	1.5%	16.8%	2.3%	2.3%	0.0%	R	0.8%	1.4%	2.2%	2.0%	1.1%	R	2.0%	1712	16.9%	2061
Leicestershire Community Paediatrics	63.3%	R	4.8%	1.3%	13.0%	2.7%	2.7%	0.0%	0.7%	0.9%	1.3%	2.7%	2.5%	1.4%	R	2.2%	4122	31.6%	6023
LNDS & HENS	76.1%	0.6%	2.8%	0.5%	9.9%	1.1%	3.5%	0.4%	0.3%	0.6%	0.4%	0.6%	0.9%	1.3%	0.2%	0.9%	7742	14.0%	8998
Looked After Children	73.0%	R	3.5%	R	3.5%	1.4%	2.2%	R	1.1%	1.0%	2.2%	3.0%	3.9%	2.0%	R	2.1%	2033	27.6%	2808
Paediatric Medical Services	62.5%	R	5.0%	1.2%	13.1%	2.7%	2.7%	0.0%	0.7%	1.0%	1.4%	2.8%	2.9%	1.5%	R	2.1%	4134	28.9%	5816
PIER	54.2%	R	5.2%	1.5%	16.7%	R	5.1%	5.2%	2.7%	1.5%	R	0.0%	R	2.2%	R	2.2%	671	20.4%	843
Travelling Families Services	67.8%	23.8%	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	307	40.8%	519

FYPC: Families, Young People and Children's Services (headcount of service users 2017/18); colour coding compares to this benchmark
 * percentages calculated by row; total known is the base for the percentage and represents total of known ethnicity (all persons)
 † totals for individual service lines will exceed those for the service area overall as individuals may use services in more than one service line
 R: Redacted

4.2 Disorder types and Health of Nation Outcome Scores amongst users of adult mental health services

Disorder types and Health of Nation Outcome Scores (HoNOS) were analysed by age, ethnicity, and gender for users of adult mental health services in 2017/18, based on the most recent assessment in 2017/18, to give insight into how the difficulties and problems faced by service users vary by demographic group.

HoNOS provides clinician rated measures of the presence and degree of problems experienced by a service user across several domains.

Severity ratings:

- No problem
- Minor problem requiring no action
- Mild problem but definitely present
- Moderately severe problem
- Severe to very severe problem

HoNOS domains:

- Overactive, aggressive, disruptive or agitated behaviour
- Non-accidental self-injury
- Problem drinking or drug taking
- Cognitive problems
- Physical illness or disability problems
- Problems associated with hallucinations and delusions
- Problems with depressed mood
- Other mental and behavioural problems
- Problems with relationships
- Problems with activities of daily living
- Problems with living conditions
- Problems with occupation and activities
- Strong unreasonable beliefs occurring in non-psychotic disorders only
- Agitated behaviour / expansive mood (historical)
- Repeat self-harm (historical)
- Safeguarding children and vulnerable dependent adults (historical)
- Engagement (historical)
- Vulnerability (historical)

Patients are clustered into categories on the basis of their HoNOS scores in each domain:

- Variance
- Common Mental Health Problems (Low Severity)
- Common Mental Health Problems
- Non-Psychotic (Moderate Severity)
- Non-Psychotic (Severe)
- Non-Psychotic Disorders (Very Severe)
- Non-Psychotic Disorder of Over-Valued Ideas
- Enduring Non-Psychotic Disorders (High Disability)
- Non-Psychotic Chaotic and Challenging Disorders
- First Episode Psychosis (With/Without Manic Features)
- Ongoing Recurrent Psychosis (Low Symptoms)
- Ongoing or Recurrent Psychosis (High Disability)
- Ongoing or Recurrent Psychosis (High Symptom and Disability)
- Psychotic Crisis
- Severe Psychotic Depression
- Psychosis and Affective Disorder (High Substance Misuse and Engagement)
- Psychosis and Affective Disorder – Difficult to Engage
- Cognitive Impairment (Low Need)
- Cognitive Impairment or Dementia Complicated (Moderate Need)
- Cognitive Impairment or Dementia Complicated (High Need)
- Cognitive impairment or Dementia (High Physical or Engagement Needs)

4.2.1 Disorder type HoNOS cluster

Age:

- amongst adult mental health service users with a HoNOS assessment, broadly,
- non-psychotic disorders were more common in younger people (under the age of 60 years old);
- a first episode of psychosis was most common under the age of 40 years old;
- ongoing and recurrent psychosis was most common amongst those aged between 30 and 69 years old;
- and cognitive impairment was most common amongst those aged 70 years old and over (Table 23).

Ethnicity:

- amongst adult mental health service users with a HoNOS assessment, broadly,
- BME people (especially Asian British and Black British people) were more likely to suffer with a psychotic disorder;
- this trend was present to varying degrees in all age groups (Table 24).

Gender:

- amongst adult mental health service users with a HoNOS assessment, broadly,
- women were more likely to suffer with a “chaotic and challenging” non-psychotic disorder, especially amongst those aged 29 years old and under;
- whilst men were more likely to suffer with a psychotic disorder, especially amongst those aged 30 to 49 years old, and with particularly high levels of “Psychosis and Affective Disorder with High Substance Misuse and Engagement” amongst men aged 29 years old and under and 30 to 49 years old (Table 25).

Table 23: HoNOS cluster by age band

Age Band (years)	Health of Nation Outcome Score Cluster																					
	Overall	Variance	Common Mental Health Problems				Non-Psychotic					Psychotic					Cognitive Impairment / Dementia					
			Low Severity	Other	Moderate Severity	Severe	Very Severe	Over-Valued Ideas	Enduring with High Disability	Chaotic and Challenging	First Episode	Low Symptoms	High Disability	High Symptoms and Disability	Crisis	Severe Psychotic Depression	High Substance Misuse and Engagement	Difficult to Engage	Low Need	Moderate Need	High Need	High Physical or Engagement Needs
15 to 19	2.0%	14.4%	R	R	3.8%	3.2%	R	7.3%	R	5.1%	9.5%	R	R	R	R	0.0%	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
20 to 29	12.7%	23.1%	23.7%	20.5%	16.5%	18.3%	20.1%	28.7%	22.1%	34.4%	47.6%	7.3%	6.9%	8.4%	R	R	16.6%	R	R	0.0%	R	
30 to 39	12.9%	25.0%	18.2%	17.3%	13.8%	18.4%	20.2%	24.0%	20.0%	21.4%	23.4%	15.9%	19.0%	15.0%	R	R	33.7%	23.5%	R	R	R	
40 to 49	13.2%	12.5%	14.4%	13.2%	11.3%	20.0%	22.4%	14.9%	19.1%	18.7%	8.1%	21.0%	24.7%	22.7%	R	R	29.8%	27.7%	1.1%	R	R	
50 to 59	13.2%	R	8.5%	12.7%	14.8%	19.4%	19.7%	13.1%	19.6%	13.5%	4.4%	23.0%	23.9%	24.2%	R	16.4%	R	24.1%	2.5%	R	R	
60 to 69	10.5%	R	8.5%	9.5%	13.3%	10.9%	9.5%	6.5%	10.0%	5.0%	2.8%	16.9%	16.4%	18.9%	R	27.9%	R	12.0%	11.0%	7.4%	5.0%	13.6%
70 to 79	13.8%	R	12.3%	13.6%	15.0%	7.1%	4.3%	R	4.7%	R	R	11.5%	6.4%	6.1%	R	16.4%	R	R	35.1%	24.0%	24.2%	23.7%
80 to 89	16.6%	R	8.1%	7.3%	10.1%	2.3%	R	R	2.2%	R	R	2.9%	R	R	0.0%	R	0.0%	R	41.8%	49.5%	45.8%	39.2%
90 +	5.0%	R	R	R	1.2%	0.4%	R	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	0.0%	R	0.0%	0.0%	8.0%	16.8%	22.3%	17.7%
Total Known	16974	104	236	220	1281	2804	598	275	1427	945	431	1161	1178	488	45	61	181	166	1868	2368	821	316
Not Known	0.2%	0.0%	1.3%	0.5%	0.4%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.4%	0.7%	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.6%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Grand Total	17009	104	239	221	1286	2812	598	275	1430	949	434	1162	1178	489	45	61	182	167	1869	2370	822	316

Percentages calculated by column
 Overall; total of known age
 R: Redacted

Table 24: HoNOS cluster by ethnicity, all ages and within age bands

Age Band (years)	Ethnicity	Health of Nation Outcome Score Cluster																						
		Overall	Variance	Common Mental Health Problems					Non-Psychotic					Psychotic					Cognitive Impairment / Dementia					
				Low Severity	Other	Moderate Severity	Severe	Very Severe	Over-Valued Ideas	Enduring with High Disability	Chaotic and Challenging	First Episode	Ongoing / Recurrent			Crisis	Severe Psychotic Depression	Psychosis and Affective Disorder		Low Need	Moderate Need	High Need	High Physical or Engagement Needs	
													Low Symptoms	High Disability	High Symptoms and Disability			High Substance Misuse and Engagement	Difficult to Engage					
All Ages	White	83.8%	86.1%	86.4%	85.3%	89.4%	87.5%	82.5%	86.9%	85.7%	92.8%	58.1%	69.2%	70.6%	65.8%	64.9%	76.5%	71.3%	59.5%	88.9%	90.0%	92.2%	88.4%	
	Asian British	11.6%	R	6.5%	R	7.9%	9.0%	12.6%	7.9%	10.6%	3.5%	26.2%	22.2%	21.8%	23.9%	R	R	12.6%	22.8%	8.9%	8.2%	5.9%	R	
	Black British	2.2%	R	R	R	R	0.9%	R	R	R	R	9.9%	5.3%	4.0%	6.2%	R	R	R	11.4%	1.3%	0.8%	R	R	
	Mixed	1.6%	R	R	R	R	1.6%	R	R	1.6%	R	2.9%	1.4%	R	R	R	0.0%	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
	Other	0.9%	R	R	R	R	1.0%	R	R	R	R	2.9%	1.8%	R	R	R	0.0%	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
	Total Known	14385	72	169	170	1001	2015	428	214	1133	746	382	1040	1083	439	37	51	167	158	1720	2259	799	302	
	Not Known	15.4%	30.8%	29.3%	23.1%	22.2%	28.3%	28.4%	22.2%	20.8%	21.4%	12.0%	10.5%	8.1%	10.2%	17.8%	16.4%	8.2%	5.4%	8.0%	4.7%	2.8%	4.4%	
Grand Total	17009	104	239	221	1286	2812	598	275	1430	949	434	1162	1178	489	45	61	182	167	1869	2370	822	316		
29 and under	White	80.3%	R	R	R	82.3%	87.4%	87.4%	R	89.8%	92.9%	53.4%	62.9%	62.5%	51.3%	R	R	R	R	R	-	-	R	
	Asian British	11.3%	R	R	R	11.0%	7.8%	R	R	5.1%	R	25.8%	21.4%	R	R	R	R	R	R	0.0%	-	-	0.0%	
	Black British	3.6%	0.0%	R	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	R	R	14.0%	R	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	0.0%	-	-	0.0%	
	Mixed	3.4%	0.0%	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	0.0%	-	-	0.0%	
	Other	1.5%	R	R	0.0%	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	0.0%	-	-	0.0%	
	Total Known	1758	21	43	36	164	358	95	73	235	283	221	70	72	39	R	R	25	11	R	0	0	R	
	Not Known	29.6%	46.2%	32.8%	34.5%	37.2%	40.8%	31.2%	26.3%	31.5%	24.1%	10.2%	21.3%	12.2%	9.3%	R	R	19.4%	15.4%	R	-	-	R	
Grand Total	2497	39	64	55	261	605	138	99	343	373	246	89	82	43	R	R	31	13	R	0	0	R		
30 to 49	White	77.7%	R	74.5%	78.7%	85.9%	86.6%	80.9%	84.7%	84.8%	90.3%	57.5%	62.2%	70.6%	65.4%	R	R	71.0%	62.2%	R	R	R	R	
	Asian British	14.4%	R	R	R	9.8%	8.5%	13.3%	R	10.3%	4.8%	32.5%	27.1%	20.3%	21.6%	R	R	15.0%	18.3%	R	R	R	R	
	Black British	3.7%	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	6.1%	5.1%	R	R	0.0%	R	R	R	0.0%	R	0.0%	
	Mixed	2.9%	R	R	R	R	2.3%	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	0.0%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
	Other	1.3%	0.0%	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	0.0%	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
	Total Known	3442	26	47	47	234	729	173	85	427	290	120	376	469	162	16	11	107	82	22	R	R	R	
	Not Known	22.2%	33.3%	39.0%	29.9%	27.3%	32.3%	32.2%	20.6%	23.5%	23.5%	11.8%	12.4%	8.9%	12.0%	11.1%	26.7%	7.0%	3.5%	24.1%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	
Grand Total	4427	39	77	67	322	1077	255	107	558	379	136	429	515	184	18	15	115	85	29	R	R	R		

Percentages calculated by column
 Overall; total of known ethnicity, all ages or within age band
 R: Redacted

Table 24 is continued overleaf ...

Table 24 continued: HoNOS cluster by ethnicity, all ages and within age bands

Age Band (years)	Ethnicity	Health of Nation Outcome Score Cluster																					
		Overall	Variance	Common Mental Health Problems		Non-Psychotic						Psychotic						Cognitive Impairment / Dementia					
				Low Severity	Other	Moderate Severity	Severe	Very Severe	Over-Valued Ideas	Enduring with High Disability	Chaotic and Challenging	First Episode	Ongoing / Recurrent			Crisis	Severe Psychotic Depression	Psychosis and Affective Disorder		Low Need	Moderate Need	High Need	High Physical or Engagement Needs
													Low Symptoms	High Disability	High Symptoms and Disability			High Substance Misuse and Engagement	Difficult to Engage				
50 to 74	White	81.3%	100.0%	R	R	90.0%	88.3%	79.7%	R	84.5%	R	R	72.8%	71.0%	64.7%	R	R	R	57.6%	78.4%	82.4%	88.6%	86.9%
	Asian British	15.1%	0.0%	R	R	R	9.8%	R	R	R	R	R	20.5%	23.4%	27.4%	R	R	R	R	17.7%	R	R	R
	Black British	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	R	0.0%	R	R	R	4.3%	2.5%	R	0.0%	R	R	R	R	R	R	0.0%
	Mixed	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	0.0%	R	R	0.0%	R	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	R	0.0%	0.0%
	Other	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	0.0%	R	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	0.0%
	Total Known	4482	12	44	56	391	792	148	46	412	165	28	493	483	201	11	30	34	59	462	408	123	84
Not Known	12.5%	7.7%	21.4%	13.8%	18.5%	19.3%	23.3%	22.0%	11.2%	10.8%	22.2%	9.0%	7.3%	8.6%	26.7%	11.8%	0.0%	4.8%	10.3%	5.6%	3.1%	4.5%	
Grand Total	5123	13	56	65	480	982	193	59	464	185	36	542	521	220	15	34	34	62	515	432	127	88	
75 and over	White	91.9%	R	100.0%	R	R	89.0%	100.0%	R	R	R	R	82.2%	78.0%	R	R	R	R	R	93.0%	91.6%	93.2%	89.1%
	Asian British	6.4%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	0.0%	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.6%	6.5%	4.9%	R
	Black British	0.9%	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	0.8%	R	R	
	Mixed	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	0.0%	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	R	
	Other	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	R	R	R	0.0%	
	Total Known	4703	13	35	31	212	136	12	10	59	R	13	101	59	37	R	R	R	R	1235	1842	672	211
Not Known	4.5%	0.0%	10.3%	6.1%	2.8%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	9.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%	4.4%	2.5%	4.5%	
Grand Total	4927	13	39	33	218	140	12	10	62	R	13	101	60	41	R	R	R	R	1323	1927	689	221	

Percentages calculated by column
 Overall; total of known ethnicity, all ages or within age band
 R: Redacted

Table 25: HoNOS cluster by gender, all ages and within age bands

Age Band (years)	Gender	Health of Nation Outcome Score Cluster																					
		Overall	Variance	Common Mental Health Problems				Non-Psychotic					Psychotic					Cognitive Impairment / Dementia					
				Low Severity	Other	Moderate Severity	Severe	Very Severe	Over-Valued Ideas	Enduring with High Disability	Chaotic and Challenging	First Episode	Ongoing / Recurrent			Crisis	Severe Psychotic Depression	Psychosis and Affective Disorder		Low Need	Moderate Need	High Need	High Physical or Engagement Needs
													Low Symptoms	High Disability	High Symptoms and Disability			High Substance Misuse and Engagement	Difficult to Engage				
All Ages	Female	58.8%	40.4%	68.2%	59.1%	61.1%	61.6%	62.4%	67.6%	61.4%	69.8%	41.1%	55.6%	48.3%	45.4%	46.7%	59.0%	18.2%	51.2%	57.4%	61.4%	63.2%	66.1%
	Male	41.2%	59.6%	31.8%	40.9%	38.9%	38.4%	37.6%	32.4%	38.6%	30.2%	58.9%	44.4%	51.7%	54.6%	53.3%	41.0%	81.8%	48.8%	42.6%	38.6%	36.8%	33.9%
	Total Known	16973	104	236	220	1281	2804	598	275	1427	945	431	1161	1178	487	45	61	181	166	1868	2368	821	316
	Not Known	0.2%	0.0%	1.3%	0.5%	0.4%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.4%	0.7%	0.1%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.6%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
	Grand Total	17009	104	239	221	1286	2812	598	275	1430	949	434	1162	1178	489	45	61	182	167	1869	2370	822	316
29 and under	Female	60.3%	43.6%	82.8%	72.7%	65.5%	64.0%	68.1%	71.7%	60.9%	73.7%	30.5%	49.4%	43.9%	39.5%	R	R	R	R	R	-	-	R
	Male	39.7%	56.4%	17.2%	27.3%	34.5%	36.0%	31.9%	28.3%	39.1%	26.3%	69.5%	50.6%	56.1%	60.5%	R	R	90.3%	R	R	-	-	R
	Total Known	2497	39	64	55	261	605	138	99	343	373	246	89	82	43	R	R	31	13	R	0	0	R
	Not Known	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	0.0%
	Grand Total	2497	39	64	55	261	605	138	99	343	373	246	89	82	43	R	R	31	13	R	0	0	R
30 to 49	Female	55.7%	33.3%	70.1%	61.2%	59.6%	62.1%	58.0%	65.4%	62.2%	66.5%	50.7%	53.4%	42.7%	34.8%	R	R	18.3%	44.7%	51.7%	R	R	R
	Male	44.3%	66.7%	29.9%	38.8%	40.4%	37.9%	42.0%	34.6%	37.8%	33.5%	49.3%	46.6%	57.3%	65.2%	R	R	81.7%	55.3%	48.3%	R	R	R
	Total Known	4427	39	77	67	322	1077	255	107	558	379	136	429	515	184	18	15	115	85	29	R	R	R
	Not Known	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Grand Total	4427	39	77	67	322	1077	255	107	558	379	136	429	515	184	18	15	115	85	29	R	R	R
50 to 74	Female	56.2%	R	60.7%	46.2%	56.0%	57.9%	64.2%	64.4%	59.5%	68.1%	63.9%	56.8%	51.6%	52.5%	R	67.6%	R	59.7%	52.8%	51.2%	54.3%	59.1%
	Male	43.8%	R	39.3%	53.8%	44.0%	42.1%	35.8%	35.6%	40.5%	31.9%	36.1%	43.2%	48.4%	47.5%	R	32.4%	R	40.3%	47.2%	48.8%	45.7%	40.9%
	Total Known	5122	13	56	65	480	982	193	59	464	185	36	542	521	219	15	34	34	62	515	432	127	88
	Not Known	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Grand Total	5123	13	56	65	480	982	193	59	464	185	36	542	521	220	15	34	34	62	515	432	127	88
75 and over	Female	63.6%	R	51.3%	57.6%	69.3%	73.6%	R	R	71.0%	R	R	64.4%	73.3%	61.0%	R	R	R	R	59.3%	63.8%	64.7%	68.8%
	Male	36.4%	R	48.7%	42.4%	30.7%	26.4%	R	R	29.0%	R	R	35.6%	26.7%	39.0%	R	R	R	R	40.7%	36.2%	35.3%	31.2%
	Total Known	4927	13	39	33	218	140	12	10	62	R	13	101	60	41	R	R	R	R	1323	1927	689	221
	Not Known	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Grand Total	4927	13	39	33	218	140	12	10	62	R	13	101	60	41	R	R	R	R	1323	1927	689	221








Percentages calculated by column
 Overall; total of known gender, all ages or within age band
 R: Redacted

4.2.2 Health of Nation Outcome Scores

The prevalence of problems in each of the HoNOS domains was analysed for users of adult mental services by age, ethnicity, and gender, based on the most recent assessment in the 2017/18 financial year. Specifically, comparisons between demographic groups were made on the basis two metrics:

- the presence of any problem requiring action (a severity rating of “mild problem but definitely present,” “moderately severe problem,” or “severe to very severe problem”);
- the presence of a severe problem (a severity rating of “severe to very severe problem”).

For each metric, comparisons were made between demographic groups on the basis of odds ratios, comparing those within the group of interest to those not in the group of interest (overall and stratified by age band). Statistically significant deviations from even odds (an odds ratio of 1) for a given demographic group on a given metric (presence of a problem requiring action or presence of a severe problem) are flagged in the tables of analysis that follow:

	A group that had a lower odds of having a problem requiring action / having a severe problem to a significant, large degree
	A group that had a lower odds of having a problem requiring action / having a severe problem to a significant, medium degree
	A group that had a lower odds of having a problem requiring action / having a severe problem to a significant, small degree
	A group that had even odds of having a problem requiring action / having a severe problem
	A group that had a higher odds of having a problem requiring action / having a severe problem to a significant, small degree
	A group that had a higher odds of having a problem requiring action / having a severe problem to a significant, medium degree
	A group that had a higher odds of having a problem requiring action / having a severe problem to a significant, large degree

(Essentially, greens indicate a lower prevalence of a problem in a given domain and yellows/oranges/reds indicate a higher prevalence of a problem in a given domain.)

Variations in the prevalence of problems, by age, ethnicity, and gender, are summarised below for each HoNOS domain.

Overactive, aggressive, disruptive or agitated behaviour:

- more likely amongst those in their teens, twenties, and thirties, and also amongst those in their nineties or above (Table 26), but with severe problems more likely amongst those in their eighties and nineties or above (Table 27);
- more likely amongst White people (Table 28);
- more likely amongst men (Table 30).

Non-accidental self-injury:

- more likely amongst those in their teens, twenties, thirties, and forties (Table 26), with severe problems more likely amongst those in their twenties and thirties (Table 27);
- more likely amongst White people (Table 28);
- more likely amongst women (Table 30).

Problem drinking or drug taking:

- more likely amongst those in their teens, twenties, thirties, and forties (Table 26), with severe problems more likely amongst those in their twenties and thirties (Table 27);
- more likely amongst Mixed Race and Black British people, overall, but amongst those aged 30 to 49 and 50 to 74 years old more likely amongst White people (Table 28);
- more likely amongst men (Table 30), especially in terms of severe problems (Table 31).

Cognitive problems:

- more likely amongst those in their seventies, eighties, and nineties and above (Table 26);
- more likely amongst White people (Table 28);
- amongst those under the age of 75 years old, more likely amongst men (Table 30), although severe problems were more likely amongst women (Table 31).

Physical illness or disability problems:

- more likely amongst those aged 50 years old and over, and most likely amongst those in their nineties and above (Table 26), with severe problems more likely amongst those in their sixties and amongst those in their nineties and above (Table 27);
- more likely amongst White people (Table 28);
- amongst those under the age of 50 years old, more likely amongst women (Table 30).

Problems associated with hallucinations and delusions:

- more likely amongst those in their thirties, forties, fifties, and sixties (Table 26), with severe problems more likely amongst those in their thirties;
- more likely amongst BME people (Table 28);
- more likely amongst men (Table 30).

Problems with depressed mood:

- more likely amongst those under the age of 60 years old (Table 26);
- more likely amongst White people, overall (Table 28), although severe problems were more likely amongst Black British people (Table 29);
- more likely amongst women (Table 30).

Other mental and behavioural problems:

- more likely amongst those under the age of 60 years old (Table 26);
- more likely amongst White people (Table 28);
- more likely amongst women (Table 30).

Problems with relationships:

- more likely amongst those under the age of 60 years old (Table 26), with severe problems more likely amongst those in their twenties and thirties (Table 27);
- more likely amongst Mixed Race people overall, but amongst those aged between 30 and 74 years old, more likely amongst White people (Table 28);
- more likely amongst men (Table 30).

Problems with activities of daily living:

- more likely amongst those in their eighties and above (Table 26), but with severe problems more likely to affect those in their seventies and above (Table 27);
- equally likely across ethnic groups, overall (Table 28), and in terms of severe problems (Table 29);
- more likely amongst men for those aged under 50 years old, and more likely amongst women for those aged 75 years old and over (Table 30).

Problems with living conditions:

- more likely amongst those in their twenties, thirties, and forties (Table 26); but with severe problems more likely amongst those in their nineties (Table 27);
- more likely amongst Mixed Race and Black British people (Table 28), with severe problems more likely amongst Mixed Race people (Table 29);
- more likely amongst men, overall (Table 30), and in terms of severe problems (Table 31).

Problems with occupation and activities:

- more likely amongst those in their twenties, thirties, forties, and fifties (Table 26);
- more likely amongst White people and Mixed Race people (Table 28);
- more likely amongst men, overall (Table 30), and in terms of severe problems (Table 31).

Strong unreasonable beliefs occurring in non-psychotic disorders only:

- more likely amongst those aged under 60 years old (Table 26), with severe problems more likely amongst those in their twenties and thirties (Table 27);
- amongst those aged 29 years old and under, 30 to 49 years old, or 50 to 74 years old, more likely amongst White people (Table 28);
- amongst those aged 30 to 49 or 50 to 74 years old, more likely amongst women (Table 30).

Agitated behaviour / expansive mood (historical):

- more likely amongst those aged under 60 years old (Table 26), with severe problems more likely amongst those in their twenties, thirties, forties, or fifties (Table 27);
- more likely amongst Asian British, Black British, and Mixed Race people, overall (Table 28), and more likely amongst Black British and Mixed Race people in terms of severe problems (Table 29);
- more likely amongst men, overall (Table 30), and in terms of severe problems (Table 31).

Repeat self-harm (historical):

- more likely amongst those aged under 60 years old (Table 26), with severe problems more likely amongst those aged under 50 years old (Table 27);
- more likely amongst White people (Table 28);
- more likely amongst women (Table 30).

Problems with Safeguarding children and vulnerable dependent adults (historical):

- more likely amongst those in their twenties, thirties, and forties (Table 26), with severe problems more likely amongst those in their thirties and forties (Table 27);
- more likely amongst Black British and Mixed Race people, as well as those of ethnicities other than White or Asian British (Table 28), with severe problems more likely amongst Black British people (Table 29);
- amongst those aged 30 to 49 years old, more likely amongst women, whilst amongst those aged 75 years old and over, more likely amongst men (Table 30).

Problems with Engagement (historical):

- more likely amongst those in their twenties, thirties, forties, and fifties (Table 26), with severe problems more likely amongst those in their thirties, forties, and fifties (Table 27);
- more likely amongst Asian British, Black British, and Mixed Race people, overall (Table 28), and in terms of severe problems (Table 29);
- more likely amongst men, overall (Table 30), and in terms of severe problems (Table 31).

Problems with Vulnerability (historical):

- more likely amongst people aged under 60 years old (Table 26), with severe problems more likely amongst those in their thirties, forties, and fifties (Table 27);
- more likely amongst Asian British, Black British, and Mixed Race people, overall (Table 28), with severe problems more likely amongst Asian British and Black British people (Table 29);
- more likely amongst women, overall (Table 30), and in terms of severe problems (Table 31).

Table 26: Prevalence of any problem requiring action in a given HoNOS domain by age band

Age Band (years)	HoNOS domain "Mild problem but definitely present" to "Very severe problem"								
	Overactive, aggressive, disruptive or agitated behaviour	Non-accidental self injury	Problem drinking or drug taking	Cognitive problems	Physical illness or disability problems	Problems associated with hallucination and delusions	Problems with depressed mood	Other mental and behavioural problems	Problems with relationships
15 to 19	35.9% of 334	31.4% of 334	17.1% of 333	18.4% of 331	19.8% of 333	10.5% of 333	61.9% of 333	82.2% of 331	51.7% of 333
20 to 29	34.6% of 2154	26.4% of 2153	21.3% of 2134	17.3% of 2145	19.2% of 2151	16.6% of 2151	65.0% of 2152	81.2% of 2140	52.3% of 2147
30 to 39	28.5% of 2187	15.7% of 2181	20.7% of 2163	16.7% of 2174	25.0% of 2177	19.7% of 2183	62.0% of 2184	77.3% of 2163	48.1% of 2183
40 to 49	27.1% of 2233	16.7% of 2232	17.8% of 2208	18.6% of 2214	37.7% of 2217	21.0% of 2224	63.0% of 2230	75.4% of 2217	48.7% of 2224
50 to 59	22.4% of 2230	12.0% of 2230	12.5% of 2207	23.2% of 2221	47.9% of 2219	19.7% of 2225	62.3% of 2228	72.9% of 2213	40.6% of 2221
60 to 69	18.2% of 1787	6.8% of 1784	8.4% of 1769	40.5% of 1772	54.8% of 1782	19.7% of 1784	50.9% of 1779	58.5% of 1777	29.6% of 1780
70 to 79	18.9% of 2340	2.5% of 2340	4.1% of 2339	64.7% of 2336	52.8% of 2338	14.3% of 2330	31.9% of 2334	39.4% of 2313	19.9% of 2336
80 to 89	24.2% of 2821	1.7% of 2823	2.6% of 2823	86.4% of 2821	61.1% of 2819	13.0% of 2805	23.9% of 2807	31.7% of 2795	17.3% of 2816
90 +	30.9% of 854	2.5% of 854	3.2% of 854	92.0% of 855	73.0% of 854	14.3% of 846	23.3% of 846	31.8% of 842	18.9% of 851
Overall	25.4% of 16940	11.3% of 16931	11.7% of 16830	42.5% of 16869	44.3% of 16890	17.2% of 16881	48.9% of 16893	60.0% of 16791	35.3% of 16891

Age Band (years)	HoNOS domain "Mild problem but definitely present" to "Very severe problem"								
	Problems with activities of daily living	Problems with living conditions	Problems with occupation and activities	Strong unreasonable beliefs occurring in non-psychotic disorders only	Agitated behaviour / expansive mood (historical)	Repeat self-harm (historical)	Safeguarding children and vulnerable dependent adults (historical)	Engagement (historical)	Vulnerability (historical)
15 to 19	34.6% of 332	13.0% of 331	41.4% of 333	23.2% of 332	46.5% of 327	44.2% of 330	8% of 325	21.7% of 322	40.7% of 327
20 to 29	38.6% of 2147	18.9% of 2122	47.8% of 2138	23.4% of 2129	53.5% of 2107	46.7% of 2111	10.4% of 2053	25.6% of 2055	37.4% of 2062
30 to 39	38.4% of 2184	19.4% of 2163	46.5% of 2169	21.0% of 2154	54.1% of 2128	34.6% of 2110	13.6% of 2063	26.7% of 2095	36.8% of 2088
40 to 49	43.1% of 2226	17.6% of 2200	47.2% of 2207	17.3% of 2198	53.9% of 2173	37.3% of 2153	12.0% of 2088	29.9% of 2135	41.8% of 2136
50 to 59	45.5% of 2222	15.3% of 2199	48.3% of 2218	14.7% of 2190	48.2% of 2161	30.9% of 2139	7.4% of 2085	23.6% of 2125	41.1% of 2110
60 to 69	47.4% of 1784	12.8% of 1769	39.5% of 1776	9.6% of 1753	35.5% of 1737	16.8% of 1724	4.5% of 1702	19.7% of 1735	29.9% of 1711
70 to 79	49.7% of 2338	9.6% of 2330	33.5% of 2336	4.2% of 2281	20.2% of 2292	6.2% of 2298	2.4% of 2229	9.6% of 2288	14.3% of 2249
80 to 89	63.9% of 2821	10.6% of 2815	39.1% of 2815	2.8% of 2727	15.2% of 2759	1.8% of 2766	1.9% of 2657	7.0% of 2745	12.1% of 2674
90 +	75.0% of 853	12.7% of 852	44.7% of 851	2.6% of 814	14.6% of 827	1.5% of 824	8% of 793	6.2% of 820	11.2% of 793
Overall	48.5% of 16907	14.6% of 16781	43.0% of 16843	12.6% of 16578	37.9% of 16511	23.2% of 16455	6.9% of 15995	19.0% of 16320	29.0% of 16150

R: Redacted

Table 27: Prevalence of a severe to very severe problem in a given HoNOS domain by age band

Age Band (years)	HoNOS domain "Severe" to "Very severe problem"								
	Overactive, aggressive, disruptive or agitated behaviour	Non-accidental self injury	Problem drinking or drug taking	Cognitive problems	Physical illness or disability problems	Problems associated with hallucination and delusions	Problems with depressed mood	Other mental and behavioural problems	Problems with relationships
15 to 19	R% of 334	R% of 334	R% of 333	R% of 331	R% of 333	R% of 333	3.0% of 333	9.4% of 331	R% of 333
20 to 29	2.4% of 2154	1.4% of 2153	2.1% of 2134	R% of 2145	R% of 2151	1.2% of 2151	2.6% of 2152	8.9% of 2140	2.8% of 2147
30 to 39	1.0% of 2187	1.2% of 2181	3.1% of 2163	R% of 2174	2.9% of 2177	1.8% of 2183	2.2% of 2184	8.1% of 2163	2.7% of 2183
40 to 49	0.8% of 2233	0.7% of 2232	2.4% of 2208	0.7% of 2214	3.6% of 2217	1.7% of 2224	2.6% of 2230	7.2% of 2217	2.2% of 2224
50 to 59	R% of 2230	0.8% of 2230	1.8% of 2207	0.8% of 2221	4.7% of 2219	1.4% of 2225	2.6% of 2228	5.2% of 2213	1.8% of 2221
60 to 69	1.3% of 1787	R% of 1784	0.8% of 1769	3.4% of 1772	5.8% of 1782	1.4% of 1784	1.8% of 1779	3.2% of 1777	1.3% of 1780
70 to 79	2.3% of 2340	R% of 2340	R% of 2339	6.9% of 2336	3.9% of 2338	0.9% of 2330	0.7% of 2334	2.2% of 2313	0.6% of 2336
80 to 89	3.0% of 2821	R% of 2823	R% of 2823	11.0% of 2821	4.7% of 2819	R% of 2805	R% of 2807	1.6% of 2795	1.0% of 2816
90 +	3.9% of 854	R% of 854	R% of 854	17.3% of 855	6.8% of 854	R% of 846	R% of 846	2.4% of 842	R% of 851
Overall	1.8% of 16940	0.7% of 16931	1.4% of 16830	4.3% of 16869	3.9% of 16890	1.2% of 16881	1.7% of 16893	5.0% of 16791	1.7% of 16891

Age Band (years)	HoNOS domain "Severe" to "Very severe problem"								
	Problems with activities of daily living	Problems with living conditions	Problems with occupation and activities	Strong unreasonable beliefs occurring in non-psychotic disorders only	Agitated behaviour / expansive mood (historical)	Repeat self-harm (historical)	Safeguarding children and vulnerable dependent adults (historical)	Engagement (historical)	Vulnerability (historical)
15 to 19	R% of 332	R% of 331	R% of 333	R% of 332	R% of 327	4.2% of 330	R% of 325	R% of 322	R% of 327
20 to 29	R% of 2147	2.1% of 2122	3.0% of 2138	2.0% of 2129	6.3% of 2107	3.8% of 2111	1.6% of 2053	2.6% of 2055	4.2% of 2062
30 to 39	1.7% of 2184	2.0% of 2163	2.3% of 2169	1.6% of 2154	7.4% of 2128	2.9% of 2110	2.5% of 2063	4.2% of 2095	5.3% of 2088
40 to 49	2.1% of 2226	1.9% of 2200	3.6% of 2207	1.3% of 2198	7.8% of 2173	2.7% of 2153	1.9% of 2088	4.2% of 2135	6.4% of 2136
50 to 59	2.5% of 2222	1.5% of 2199	3.2% of 2218	1.1% of 2190	7.2% of 2161	2.6% of 2139	1.2% of 2085	3.2% of 2125	5.5% of 2110
60 to 69	4.0% of 1784	0.9% of 1769	2.3% of 1776	1.0% of 1753	4.3% of 1737	1.3% of 1724	0.7% of 1702	2.9% of 1735	4.7% of 1711
70 to 79	4.5% of 2338	0.7% of 2330	2.4% of 2336	R% of 2281	1.6% of 2292	0.7% of 2298	R% of 2229	0.9% of 2288	2.0% of 2249
80 to 89	5.0% of 2821	1.1% of 2815	2.3% of 2815	R% of 2727	0.8% of 2759	R% of 2766	R% of 2657	R% of 2745	0.9% of 2674
90 +	6.9% of 853	R% of 852	R% of 851	R% of 814	R% of 827	R% of 824	R% of 793	R% of 820	R% of 793
Overall	3.3% of 16907	1.4% of 16781	2.8% of 16843	1.0% of 16578	4.7% of 16511	1.9% of 16455	1.1% of 15995	2.4% of 16320	3.8% of 16150

R: Redacted

Table 28: Prevalence of any problem requiring action in a given HoNOS domain by age band and ethnicity

Age Band (years)	Ethnicity	HoNOS domain "Mild problem but definitely present" to "Very severe problem"								
		Overactive, aggressive, disruptive or agitated behaviour	Non-accidental self injury	Problem drinking or drug taking	Cognitive problems	Physical illness or disability problems	Problems associated with hallucination and delusions	Problems with depressed mood	Other mental and behavioural problems	Problems with relationships
All Ages	White	25.5% of 12026	11.3% of 12022	11.0% of 11957	47.4% of 11998	47.4% of 11997	15.7% of 11979	47.6% of 11993	57.9% of 11932	34.3% of 12000
	Asian British	19.3% of 1667	4.5% of 1664	7.2% of 1662	39.1% of 1657	43.3% of 1665	27.8% of 1663	40.2% of 1663	53.6% of 1649	30.6% of 1660
	Black British	26.5% of 313	3.5% of 313	16.6% of 308	32.9% of 310	32.1% of 312	42.2% of 313	28.8% of 312	47.2% of 303	38.3% of 308
	Mixed	30.8% of 224	12.1% of 224	R% of 220	29.3% of 222	31.2% of 221	29.5% of 224	51.8% of 224	64.4% of 222	44.4% of 223
	Other	22.6% of 124	8.9% of 124	R% of 123	29.3% of 123	27.6% of 123	27.4% of 124	45.1% of 122	60.8% of 120	28.7% of 122
	Overall	24.8% of 14354	10.3% of 14347	10.8% of 14270	45.7% of 14310	46.2% of 14318	18.0% of 14303	46.4% of 14314	57.3% of 14226	34.0% of 14313
29 and under	White	34.9% of 1405	30.5% of 1406	21.5% of 1393	16.5% of 1404	19.3% of 1406	14.6% of 1407	68.4% of 1407	82.8% of 1404	53.2% of 1403
	Asian British	19.9% of 196	9.3% of 194	13.8% of 196	22.1% of 195	17.9% of 196	29.5% of 193	43.1% of 195	70.8% of 192	47.2% of 193
	Black British	39.7% of 63	R% of 63	19.7% of 61	R% of 61	R% of 63	41.3% of 63	33.9% of 62	58.3% of 60	45.9% of 61
	Mixed	R% of 59	R% of 59	R% of 59	R% of 59	R% of 57	R% of 59	54.2% of 59	76.3% of 59	50.8% of 59
	Other	R% of 27	R% of 27	R% of 26	R% of 26	R% of 26	R% of 27	48.0% of 25	72.0% of 25	50.0% of 26
	Overall	33.3% of 1750	26.8% of 1749	20.7% of 1735	17.5% of 1745	18.8% of 1748	17.7% of 1749	63.6% of 1748	80.2% of 1740	52.1% of 1742
30 to 49	White	28.1% of 2671	18.6% of 2666	19.7% of 2638	18.3% of 2657	32.5% of 2651	18.8% of 2661	64.6% of 2667	78.5% of 2651	50.3% of 2667
	Asian British	20.4% of 496	7.9% of 495	13.3% of 495	18.0% of 494	29.3% of 495	33.9% of 495	46.7% of 495	62.0% of 489	41.7% of 494
	Black British	25.4% of 126	R% of 126	18.5% of 124	20.8% of 125	28.6% of 126	46.0% of 126	35.7% of 126	54.5% of 123	44.8% of 125
	Mixed	R% of 98	R% of 98	R% of 95	R% of 96	R% of 97	35.7% of 98	57.1% of 98	69.8% of 96	51.5% of 97
	Other	R% of 44	R% of 44	R% of 44	R% of 44	R% of 44	27.3% of 44	47.7% of 44	58.1% of 43	23.3% of 43
	Overall	26.9% of 3435	16.1% of 3429	18.5% of 3396	18.2% of 3416	31.5% of 3413	22.6% of 3424	60.5% of 3430	74.7% of 3402	48.5% of 3426
50 to 74	White	20.5% of 3633	9.3% of 3631	10.4% of 3608	36.9% of 3621	52.3% of 3626	16.6% of 3623	53.3% of 3626	62.7% of 3607	34.1% of 3623
	Asian British	17.5% of 675	2.1% of 675	2.8% of 671	40.5% of 669	51.2% of 674	27.4% of 675	43.9% of 674	53.1% of 672	24.6% of 674
	Black British	23.8% of 84	R% of 84	R% of 83	31.0% of 84	44.6% of 83	44.0% of 84	22.6% of 84	46.9% of 81	34.1% of 82
	Mixed	R% of 39	R% of 39	R% of 38	25.6% of 39	46.2% of 39	30.8% of 39	59.0% of 39	59.0% of 39	R% of 39
	Other	R% of 39	R% of 39	R% of 39	35.9% of 39	38.5% of 39	30.8% of 39	46.2% of 39	65.8% of 38	R% of 39
	Overall	20.1% of 4470	8.1% of 4468	9.4% of 4439	37.2% of 4452	51.8% of 4461	19.0% of 4460	51.3% of 4462	60.9% of 4437	32.7% of 4457
75 and over	White	24.9% of 4317	R% of 4319	R% of 4318	84.2% of 4316	61.6% of 4314	13.2% of 4288	25.4% of 4293	32.8% of 4270	18.2% of 4307
	Asian British	21.3% of 300	R% of 300	R% of 300	81.9% of 299	65.3% of 300	17.3% of 300	19.4% of 299	29.7% of 296	15.1% of 299
	Black British	R% of 40	0.0% of 40	0.0% of 40	R% of 40	R% of 40	R% of 40	R% of 40	R% of 39	R% of 40
	Mixed	R% of 28	0.0% of 28	0.0% of 28	R% of 28	R% of 28	R% of 28	R% of 28	R% of 28	R% of 28
	Other	R% of 14	0.0% of 14	0.0% of 14	R% of 14	R% of 14	R% of 14	R% of 14	R% of 14	R% of 14
	Overall	24.6% of 4699	2.0% of 4701	2.8% of 4700	84.2% of 4697	61.7% of 4696	13.7% of 4670	24.9% of 4674	32.4% of 4647	18.0% of 4688

R: Redacted

Table 28 is continued overleaf ...

Table 28 continued: Prevalence of any problem requiring action in a given HoNOS domain by age band and ethnicity

Age Band (years)	Ethnicity	HoNOS domain "Mild problem but definitely present" to "Very severe problem"								Vulnerability (historical)
		Problems with activities of daily living	Problems with living conditions	Problems with occupation and activities	Strong unreasonable beliefs occurring in non-psychotic disorders only	Agitated behaviour / expansive mood (historical)	Repeat self-harm (historical)	Safeguarding children and vulnerable dependent adults (historical)	Engagement (historical)	
All Ages	White	50.4% of 12013	14.3% of 11935	43.4% of 11971	12.1% of 11745	36.2% of 11740	23.3% of 11704	6.8% of 11368	18.3% of 11643	28.4% of 11497
	Asian British	50.0% of 1665	13.2% of 1654	36.2% of 1656	9.0% of 1625	41.4% of 1622	14.6% of 1613	7.2% of 1591	23.5% of 1603	32.3% of 1580
	Black British	45.6% of 307	23.3% of 305	39.2% of 309	11.8% of 304	56.1% of 303	14.7% of 299	15.2% of 290	41.3% of 303	45.2% of 292
	Mixed	45.1% of 224	24.6% of 224	50.9% of 224	16.8% of 220	51.4% of 218	26.5% of 215	12.3% of 211	31.8% of 214	41.5% of 212
	Other	40.7% of 123	16.3% of 123	40.5% of 121	14.0% of 121	47.0% of 117	17.9% of 112	13.8% of 109	19.1% of 110	38.9% of 113
	Overall	50.0% of 14332	14.6% of 14241	42.6% of 14281	11.9% of 14015	37.6% of 14000	22.1% of 13943	7.1% of 13569	19.6% of 13873	29.5% of 13694
29 and under	White	38.4% of 1403	17.7% of 1393	47.8% of 1399	25.8% of 1393	52.4% of 1380	52.7% of 1386	11.3% of 1352	26.4% of 1354	39.4% of 1364
	Asian British	40.0% of 195	18.1% of 193	41.5% of 193	11.6% of 189	59.7% of 191	24.5% of 192	10.5% of 191	40.0% of 190	48.9% of 188
	Black British	43.3% of 60	29.3% of 58	49.2% of 61	R% of 61	68.3% of 60	R% of 59	R% of 59	46.7% of 60	51.7% of 58
	Mixed	42.4% of 59	R% of 59	47.5% of 59	25.4% of 59	66.1% of 59	43.1% of 58	R% of 57	R% of 57	44.8% of 58
	Other	42.3% of 26	R% of 26	60.0% of 25	R% of 25	68.0% of 25	R% of 24	R% of 21	R% of 22	54.2% of 24
	Overall	39.0% of 1743	18.4% of 1729	47.3% of 1737	23.7% of 1727	54.5% of 1715	47.8% of 1719	11.3% of 1680	28.9% of 1683	41.3% of 1692
30 to 49	White	40.8% of 2666	18.5% of 2637	47.8% of 2647	20.8% of 2626	56.7% of 2608	41.0% of 2580	13.9% of 2525	30.1% of 2578	42.1% of 2570
	Asian British	43.4% of 495	18.3% of 491	41.3% of 492	14.2% of 485	54.9% of 479	24.4% of 476	11.6% of 465	29.6% of 469	41.9% of 470
	Black British	40.3% of 124	28.2% of 124	40.3% of 124	R% of 122	61.0% of 123	R% of 121	22.4% of 116	49.2% of 124	54.2% of 118
	Mixed	41.8% of 98	R% of 98	52.0% of 98	18.8% of 96	51.1% of 94	26.1% of 92	14.4% of 90	40.2% of 92	44.9% of 89
	Other	31.8% of 44	R% of 44	30.2% of 43	R% of 44	57.5% of 40	R% of 38	27.5% of 40	30.8% of 39	43.6% of 39
	Overall	41.1% of 3427	19.2% of 3394	46.4% of 3404	19.4% of 3373	56.4% of 3344	37.2% of 3307	14.1% of 3236	31.1% of 3302	42.6% of 3286
50 to 74	White	46.7% of 3629	14.1% of 3601	43.7% of 3617	11.3% of 3564	38.7% of 3535	23.6% of 3519	5.6% of 3441	19.4% of 3516	32.7% of 3487
	Asian British	49.0% of 675	10.3% of 671	31.8% of 672	6.7% of 657	37.0% of 654	10.2% of 647	5.6% of 644	21.4% of 650	30.7% of 629
	Black British	44.6% of 83	20.5% of 83	36.9% of 84	R% of 81	60.5% of 81	R% of 80	R% of 77	40.0% of 80	43.6% of 78
	Mixed	35.9% of 39	R% of 39	56.4% of 39	R% of 37	56.8% of 37	R% of 37	R% of 36	R% of 37	40.5% of 37
	Other	38.5% of 39	R% of 39	35.9% of 39	R% of 39	39.5% of 38	R% of 36	R% of 35	R% of 36	35.1% of 37
	Overall	46.8% of 4465	13.6% of 4433	41.8% of 4451	10.7% of 4378	39.0% of 4345	21.2% of 4319	5.8% of 4233	20.0% of 4319	32.7% of 4268
75 and over	White	63.2% of 4315	10.9% of 4304	39.1% of 4308	2.9% of 4162	16.1% of 4217	R% of 4219	R% of 4050	7.5% of 4195	12.4% of 4076
	Asian British	69.3% of 300	R% of 299	34.1% of 299	R% of 294	R% of 298	R% of 298	R% of 291	R% of 294	9.9% of 293
	Black British	67.5% of 40	R% of 40	R% of 40	R% of 40	R% of 39	R% of 39	R% of 38	R% of 39	R% of 38
	Mixed	R% of 28	R% of 28	46.4% of 28	0.0% of 28	R% of 28	0.0% of 28	R% of 28	R% of 28	R% of 28
	Other	R% of 14	R% of 14	R% of 14	0.0% of 13	0.0% of 14	0.0% of 14	0.0% of 13	0.0% of 13	R% of 13
	Overall	63.8% of 4697	10.7% of 4685	38.7% of 4689	2.9% of 4537	16.1% of 4596	2.5% of 4598	1.8% of 4420	7.6% of 4569	12.3% of 4448

R: Redacted

Table 29: Prevalence of a severe to very severe problem in a given HoNOS domain by age band and ethnicity

Age Band (years)	Ethnicity	HoNOS domain "Severe" to "Very severe problem"								
		Overactive, aggressive, disruptive or agitated behaviour	Non-accidental self injury	Problem drinking or drug taking	Cognitive problems	Physical illness or disability problems	Problems associated with hallucination and delusions	Problems with depressed mood	Other mental and behavioural problems	Problems with relationships
All Ages	White	2.0% of 12026	0.7% of 12022	1.3% of 11957	5.3% of 11998	4.2% of 11997	1.0% of 11979	1.4% of 11993	4.9% of 11932	1.6% of 12000
	Asian British	1.1% of 1667	R% of 1664	1.2% of 1662	3.4% of 1657	4.1% of 1665	2.0% of 1663	2.1% of 1663	3.1% of 1649	1.5% of 1660
	Black British	R% of 313	R% of 313	R% of 308	R% of 310	R% of 312	R% of 313	3.5% of 312	R% of 303	R% of 308
	Mixed	R% of 224	R% of 224	R% of 220	R% of 222	R% of 221	R% of 224	R% of 224	7.2% of 222	R% of 223
	Other	R% of 124	0.0% of 124	0.0% of 123	R% of 123	R% of 123	R% of 124	R% of 122	R% of 120	R% of 122
	Overall	1.9% of 14354	0.6% of 14347	1.3% of 14270	4.9% of 14310	4.2% of 14318	1.2% of 14303	1.6% of 14314	4.7% of 14226	1.6% of 14313
29 and under	White	2.2% of 1405	R% of 1406	R% of 1393	R% of 1404	R% of 1406	1.1% of 1407	R% of 1407	9.8% of 1404	R% of 1403
	Asian British	R% of 196	R% of 194	R% of 196	R% of 195	R% of 196	R% of 193	R% of 195	R% of 192	R% of 193
	Black British	R% of 63	0.0% of 63	0.0% of 61	0.0% of 61	R% of 63	R% of 63	0.0% of 62	R% of 60	R% of 61
	Mixed	R% of 59	R% of 59	R% of 59	0.0% of 59	R% of 57	R% of 59	R% of 59	R% of 59	R% of 59
	Other	0.0% of 27	0.0% of 27	0.0% of 26	0.0% of 26	0.0% of 26	0.0% of 27	0.0% of 25	R% of 25	R% of 26
	Overall	2.4% of 1750	1.6% of 1749	1.9% of 1735	R% of 1745	1.3% of 1748	1.4% of 1749	2.4% of 1748	9.0% of 1740	2.9% of 1742
30 to 49	White	R% of 2671	R% of 2666	2.8% of 2638	R% of 2657	3.8% of 2651	1.5% of 2661	2.0% of 2667	8.2% of 2651	2.2% of 2667
	Asian British	R% of 496	R% of 495	R% of 495	R% of 494	R% of 495	2.6% of 495	2.6% of 495	3.5% of 489	R% of 494
	Black British	R% of 126	R% of 126	R% of 124	R% of 125	R% of 126	R% of 126	R% of 126	R% of 123	R% of 125
	Mixed	R% of 98	0.0% of 98	R% of 95	R% of 96	0.0% of 97	R% of 98	R% of 98	R% of 96	R% of 97
	Other	R% of 44	0.0% of 44	0.0% of 44	0.0% of 44	0.0% of 44	R% of 44	R% of 44	R% of 43	0.0% of 43
	Overall	0.9% of 3435	1.0% of 3429	2.8% of 3396	0.7% of 3416	3.4% of 3413	1.8% of 3424	2.3% of 3430	7.4% of 3402	2.3% of 3426
50 to 74	White	1.2% of 3633	R% of 3631	R% of 3608	3.3% of 3621	5.2% of 3626	1.2% of 3623	1.6% of 3626	4.0% of 3607	R% of 3623
	Asian British	1.6% of 675	R% of 675	R% of 671	R% of 669	R% of 674	R% of 675	R% of 674	R% of 672	R% of 674
	Black British	0.0% of 84	0.0% of 84	R% of 83	0.0% of 84	R% of 83	R% of 84	R% of 84	R% of 81	R% of 82
	Mixed	0.0% of 39	R% of 39	0.0% of 38	0.0% of 39	R% of 39	R% of 39	R% of 39	0.0% of 39	0.0% of 39
	Other	0.0% of 39	0.0% of 39	0.0% of 39	R% of 39	R% of 39	0.0% of 39	R% of 39	0.0% of 38	0.0% of 39
	Overall	1.2% of 4470	0.4% of 4468	1.3% of 4439	3.2% of 4452	5.1% of 4461	1.4% of 4460	1.9% of 4462	3.8% of 4437	1.4% of 4457
75 and over	White	R% of 4317	0.3% of 4319	R% of 4318	11.5% of 4316	4.7% of 4314	R% of 4288	0.4% of 4293	R% of 4270	R% of 4307
	Asian British	R% of 300	0.0% of 300	0.0% of 300	R% of 299	R% of 300	R% of 300	0.0% of 299	R% of 296	R% of 299
	Black British	0.0% of 40	0.0% of 40	0.0% of 40	R% of 40	R% of 40	R% of 40	0.0% of 40	0.0% of 39	0.0% of 40
	Mixed	0.0% of 28	0.0% of 28	0.0% of 28	R% of 28	R% of 28	0.0% of 28	0.0% of 28	0.0% of 28	0.0% of 28
	Other	0.0% of 14	0.0% of 14	0.0% of 14	R% of 14	R% of 14	0.0% of 14	0.0% of 14	R% of 14	0.0% of 14
	Overall	3.1% of 4699	0.3% of 4701	R% of 4700	11.4% of 4697	4.8% of 4696	0.5% of 4670	0.4% of 4674	1.9% of 4647	0.9% of 4688

R: Redacted

Table 29 is continued overleaf ...

Table 29 continued: Prevalence of a severe to very severe problem in a given HoNOS domain by age band and ethnicity

Age Band (years)	Ethnicity	HoNOS domain "Severe" to "Very severe problem"								
		Problems with activities of daily living	Problems with living conditions	Problems with occupation and activities	Strong unreasonable beliefs occurring in non-psychotic disorders only	Agitated behaviour / expansive mood (historical)	Repeat self-harm (historical)	Safeguarding children and vulnerable dependent adults (historical)	Engagement (historical)	Vulnerability (historical)
All Ages	White	3.6% of 12013	1.4% of 11935	2.8% of 11971	0.9% of 11745	4.3% of 11740	2.1% of 11704	1.0% of 11368	2.1% of 11643	3.5% of 11497
	Asian British	3.5% of 1665	1.2% of 1654	2.7% of 1656	1.0% of 1625	6.1% of 1622	1.1% of 1613	1.0% of 1591	3.7% of 1603	5.3% of 1580
	Black British	R% of 307	R% of 305	R% of 309	R% of 304	14.9% of 303	R% of 299	R% of 290	11.9% of 303	12.3% of 292
	Mixed	R% of 224	R% of 224	R% of 224	R% of 220	R% of 218	R% of 215	R% of 211	R% of 214	R% of 212
	Other	R% of 123	0.0% of 123	R% of 121	0.0% of 121	R% of 117	R% of 112	R% of 109	R% of 110	R% of 113
	Overall	3.5% of 14332	1.4% of 14241	2.7% of 14281	0.9% of 14015	4.9% of 14000	2.0% of 13943	1.1% of 13569	2.6% of 13873	3.9% of 13694
29 and under	White	R% of 1403	R% of 1393	3.3% of 1399	R% of 1393	5.1% of 1380	R% of 1386	R% of 1352	2.1% of 1354	3.8% of 1364
	Asian British	R% of 195	R% of 193	R% of 193	R% of 189	13.6% of 191	R% of 192	R% of 191	5.3% of 190	8.0% of 188
	Black British	0.0% of 60	R% of 58	R% of 61	R% of 61	25.0% of 60	R% of 59	R% of 59	R% of 60	R% of 58
	Mixed	R% of 59	R% of 59	R% of 59	R% of 59	R% of 59	R% of 58	R% of 57	R% of 57	R% of 58
	Other	0.0% of 26	0.0% of 26	0.0% of 25	0.0% of 25	R% of 25	0.0% of 24	0.0% of 21	R% of 22	R% of 24
	Overall	1.7% of 1743	1.9% of 1729	3.1% of 1737	2.2% of 1727	7.1% of 1715	4.5% of 1719	1.4% of 1680	3.0% of 1683	4.7% of 1692
30 to 49	White	1.8% of 2666	1.7% of 2637	2.5% of 2647	1.5% of 2626	8.0% of 2608	3.4% of 2580	2.5% of 2525	4.1% of 2578	5.8% of 2570
	Asian British	R% of 495	2.2% of 491	3.3% of 492	R% of 485	7.5% of 479	R% of 476	R% of 465	5.3% of 469	6.0% of 470
	Black British	R% of 124	R% of 124	R% of 124	R% of 122	15.4% of 123	R% of 121	R% of 116	R% of 124	12.7% of 118
	Mixed	R% of 98	R% of 98	R% of 98	R% of 96	R% of 94	R% of 92	R% of 90	R% of 92	R% of 89
	Other	R% of 44	0.0% of 44	R% of 43	0.0% of 44	R% of 40	0.0% of 38	R% of 40	R% of 39	R% of 39
	Overall	1.9% of 3427	2.1% of 3394	2.7% of 3404	1.5% of 3373	8.4% of 3344	3.0% of 3307	2.4% of 3236	4.9% of 3302	6.1% of 3286
50 to 74	White	3.5% of 3629	R% of 3601	2.9% of 3617	R% of 3564	5.3% of 3535	2.0% of 3519	R% of 3441	2.5% of 3516	4.4% of 3487
	Asian British	R% of 675	R% of 671	R% of 672	R% of 657	5.2% of 654	R% of 647	R% of 644	3.5% of 650	6.2% of 629
	Black British	R% of 83	R% of 83	0.0% of 84	R% of 81	R% of 81	R% of 80	R% of 77	R% of 80	R% of 78
	Mixed	0.0% of 39	0.0% of 39	R% of 39	R% of 37	R% of 37	R% of 37	R% of 36	R% of 37	R% of 37
	Other	R% of 39	0.0% of 39	0.0% of 39	0.0% of 39	R% of 38	R% of 36	0.0% of 35	R% of 36	R% of 37
	Overall	3.6% of 4465	1.3% of 4433	2.8% of 4451	0.8% of 4378	5.6% of 4345	1.9% of 4319	0.9% of 4233	2.8% of 4319	4.9% of 4268
75 and over	White	5.2% of 4315	R% of 4304	R% of 4308	R% of 4162	R% of 4217	R% of 4219	R% of 4050	R% of 4195	R% of 4076
	Asian British	R% of 300	R% of 299	R% of 299	R% of 294	R% of 298	R% of 298	0.0% of 291	R% of 294	R% of 293
	Black British	R% of 40	0.0% of 40	0.0% of 40	R% of 40	0.0% of 39	0.0% of 39	0.0% of 38	0.0% of 39	R% of 38
	Mixed	R% of 28	0.0% of 28	0.0% of 28	0.0% of 28	0.0% of 28	0.0% of 28	0.0% of 28	0.0% of 28	0.0% of 28
	Other	R% of 14	0.0% of 14	R% of 14	0.0% of 13	0.0% of 14	0.0% of 14	0.0% of 13	0.0% of 13	0.0% of 13
	Overall	5.3% of 4697	0.9% of 4685	2.6% of 4689	R% of 4537	0.8% of 4596	0.3% of 4598	R% of 4420	0.6% of 4569	1.1% of 4448

R: Redacted

Table 30: Prevalence of any problem requiring action in a given HoNOS domain by age band and gender

Age Band (years)	Gender	HoNOS domain "Mild problem but definitely present" to "Very severe problem"								
		Overactive, aggressive, disruptive or agitated behaviour	Non-accidental self injury	Problem drinking or drug taking	Cognitive problems	Physical illness or disability problems	Problems associated with hallucination and delusions	Problems with depressed mood	Other mental and behavioural problems	Problems with relationships
All Ages	Female	24.2% of 9967	12.5% of 9958	8.4% of 9916	42.5% of 9928	46.0% of 9944	14.6% of 9928	51.3% of 9944	61.5% of 9886	33.8% of 9946
	Male	27.2% of 6972	9.6% of 6972	16.4% of 6913	42.6% of 6940	41.8% of 6945	20.9% of 6952	45.6% of 6948	57.8% of 6904	37.6% of 6944
	Overall	25.4% of 16939	11.3% of 16930	11.7% of 16829	42.5% of 16868	44.3% of 16889	17.2% of 16880	49.0% of 16892	60.0% of 16790	35.3% of 16890
29 and under	Female	30.6% of 1503	30.7% of 1502	14.8% of 1491	14.7% of 1497	21.1% of 1504	10.5% of 1501	69.1% of 1502	82.0% of 1496	49.9% of 1500
	Male	41.1% of 985	21.6% of 985	29.8% of 976	21.7% of 979	16.3% of 980	23.8% of 983	57.6% of 983	80.4% of 975	55.8% of 980
	Overall	34.8% of 2488	27.1% of 2487	20.8% of 2467	17.4% of 2476	19.2% of 2484	15.7% of 2484	64.5% of 2485	81.3% of 2471	52.2% of 2480
30 to 49	Female	25.4% of 2463	18.3% of 2458	13.5% of 2443	16.1% of 2447	34.5% of 2450	15.0% of 2454	66.7% of 2462	78.2% of 2442	46.2% of 2458
	Male	30.8% of 1957	13.6% of 1955	26.5% of 1928	19.6% of 1941	27.5% of 1944	27.0% of 1953	57.2% of 1952	73.9% of 1938	51.2% of 1949
	Overall	27.8% of 4420	16.2% of 4413	19.2% of 4371	17.6% of 4388	31.4% of 4394	20.3% of 4407	62.5% of 4414	76.3% of 4380	48.4% of 4407
50 to 74	Female	19.5% of 2871	9.2% of 2868	7.2% of 2851	34.7% of 2857	52.0% of 2863	17.4% of 2860	55.6% of 2863	65.0% of 2851	32.5% of 2864
	Male	20.6% of 2237	7.1% of 2237	12.6% of 2216	37.5% of 2226	50.2% of 2228	19.6% of 2236	49.6% of 2233	58.3% of 2221	32.8% of 2228
	Overall	20.0% of 5108	8.3% of 5105	9.6% of 5067	35.9% of 5083	51.2% of 5091	18.3% of 5096	53.0% of 5096	62.0% of 5072	32.7% of 5092
75 and over	Female	24.5% of 3130	2.1% of 3130	2.6% of 3131	83.7% of 3127	61.6% of 3127	13.6% of 3113	26.6% of 3117	35.1% of 3097	17.3% of 3124
	Male	24.0% of 1793	1.7% of 1795	3.2% of 1793	85.2% of 1794	60.6% of 1793	14.1% of 1780	21.1% of 1780	27.2% of 1770	18.8% of 1787
	Overall	24.3% of 4923	1.9% of 4925	2.8% of 4924	84.2% of 4921	61.2% of 4920	13.8% of 4893	24.6% of 4897	32.3% of 4867	17.9% of 4911

Table 30 is continued overleaf ...

Table 30 continued: Prevalence of any problem requiring action in a given HoNOS domain by age band and gender

Age Band (years)	Gender	HoNOS domain "Mild problem but definitely present" to "Very severe problem"								
		Problems with activities of daily living	Problems with living conditions	Problems with occupation and activities	Strong unreasonable beliefs occurring in non-psychotic disorders only	Agitated behaviour / expansive mood (historical)	Repeat self-harm (historical)	Safeguarding children and vulnerable dependent adults (historical)	Engagement (historical)	Vulnerability (historical)
All Ages	Female	48.5% of 9954	13.1% of 9885	40.8% of 9913	13.0% of 9749	34.6% of 9724	24.6% of 9692	6.9% of 9441	16.8% of 9616	29.6% of 9517
	Male	48.4% of 6952	16.7% of 6895	46.1% of 6929	12.0% of 6829	42.7% of 6786	21.3% of 6762	7.0% of 6553	22.2% of 6703	28.0% of 6632
	Overall	48.5% of 16906	14.6% of 16780	43.0% of 16842	12.6% of 16578	37.9% of 16510	23.2% of 16454	6.9% of 15994	19.0% of 16319	29.0% of 16149
29 and under	Female	35.9% of 1500	15.8% of 1481	42.5% of 1495	24.4% of 1490	47.6% of 1463	52.2% of 1471	10.2% of 1433	20.2% of 1426	38.9% of 1440
	Male	41.3% of 979	21.5% of 972	53.7% of 976	21.8% of 971	60.0% of 971	37.4% of 970	9.5% of 945	32.4% of 951	36.2% of 949
	Overall	38.0% of 2479	18.1% of 2453	46.9% of 2471	23.4% of 2461	52.6% of 2434	46.3% of 2441	9.9% of 2378	25.1% of 2377	37.8% of 2389
30 to 49	Female	38.8% of 2460	16.4% of 2435	43.1% of 2442	20.3% of 2423	47.7% of 2400	38.5% of 2377	14.1% of 2336	23.6% of 2364	40.5% of 2365
	Male	43.2% of 1950	21.2% of 1928	51.5% of 1934	17.7% of 1929	62.0% of 1901	32.9% of 1886	11.1% of 1815	34.2% of 1866	37.7% of 1859
	Overall	40.8% of 4410	18.5% of 4363	46.8% of 4376	19.1% of 4352	54.0% of 4301	36.0% of 4263	12.8% of 4151	28.3% of 4230	39.3% of 4224
50 to 74	Female	46.2% of 2864	12.5% of 2847	40.5% of 2853	11.8% of 2817	37.3% of 2799	22.3% of 2783	4.9% of 2731	18.6% of 2783	33.2% of 2756
	Male	45.9% of 2233	14.3% of 2209	44.0% of 2230	9.7% of 2193	39.6% of 2164	19.3% of 2151	5.9% of 2100	20.0% of 2144	29.9% of 2119
	Overall	46.1% of 5097	13.3% of 5056	42.0% of 5083	10.9% of 5010	38.3% of 4963	21.0% of 4934	5.3% of 4831	19.2% of 4927	31.7% of 4875
75 and over	Female	64.3% of 3130	9.8% of 3122	38.6% of 3123	2.8% of 3019	15.7% of 3062	2.6% of 3061	1.5% of 2941	8.2% of 3043	13.0% of 2956
	Male	61.3% of 1790	12.1% of 1786	38.8% of 1789	3.1% of 1736	15.9% of 1750	2.2% of 1755	2.5% of 1693	6.4% of 1742	10.6% of 1705
	Overall	63.2% of 4920	10.6% of 4908	38.7% of 4912	2.9% of 4755	15.8% of 4812	2.4% of 4816	1.8% of 4634	7.5% of 4785	12.1% of 4661

Table 31: Prevalence of a severe to very severe problem in a given HoNOS domain by age band and gender

Age Band (years)	Gender	HoNOS domain "Severe" to "Very severe problem"								
		Overactive, aggressive, disruptive or agitated behaviour	Non-accidental self injury	Problem drinking or drug taking	Cognitive problems	Physical illness or disability problems	Problems associated with hallucination and delusions	Problems with depressed mood	Other mental and behavioural problems	Problems with relationships
All Ages	Female	1.5% of 9967	0.6% of 9958	0.7% of 9916	4.8% of 9928	4.3% of 9944	0.9% of 9928	1.8% of 9944	5.0% of 9886	1.5% of 9946
	Male	2.3% of 6972	0.7% of 6972	2.3% of 6913	3.7% of 6940	3.5% of 6945	1.5% of 6952	1.6% of 6948	5.2% of 6904	2.1% of 6944
	Overall	1.8% of 16939	0.7% of 16930	1.4% of 16829	4.3% of 16868	3.9% of 16889	1.2% of 16880	1.7% of 16892	5.0% of 16790	1.7% of 16890
29 and under	Female	1.3% of 1503	1.6% of 1502	0.9% of 1491	R% of 1497	R% of 1504	R% of 1501	2.5% of 1502	9.8% of 1496	2.6% of 1500
	Male	4.1% of 985	1.1% of 985	3.6% of 976	R% of 979	R% of 980	R% of 983	2.8% of 983	7.7% of 975	3.1% of 980
	Overall	2.4% of 2488	1.4% of 2487	1.9% of 2467	R% of 2476	1.3% of 2484	1.1% of 2484	2.6% of 2485	9.0% of 2471	2.8% of 2480
30 to 49	Female	0.7% of 2463	0.7% of 2458	1.6% of 2443	0.7% of 2447	4.0% of 2450	1.2% of 2454	2.7% of 2462	7.3% of 2442	2.3% of 2458
	Male	1.1% of 1957	1.2% of 1955	4.0% of 1928	0.6% of 1941	2.3% of 1944	2.5% of 1953	2.0% of 1952	8.2% of 1938	2.7% of 1949
	Overall	0.9% of 4420	0.9% of 4413	2.7% of 4371	0.6% of 4388	3.3% of 4394	1.7% of 4407	2.4% of 4414	7.7% of 4380	2.5% of 4407
50 to 74	Female	0.9% of 2871	R% of 2868	0.7% of 2851	2.9% of 2857	5.1% of 2863	1.4% of 2860	2.1% of 2863	3.9% of 2851	1.2% of 2864
	Male	1.3% of 2237	R% of 2237	1.9% of 2216	2.7% of 2226	4.8% of 2228	1.2% of 2236	1.8% of 2233	3.9% of 2221	1.6% of 2228
	Overall	1.1% of 5108	0.5% of 5105	1.2% of 5067	2.8% of 5083	5.0% of 5091	1.3% of 5096	2.0% of 5096	3.9% of 5072	1.4% of 5092
75 and over	Female	2.6% of 3130	R% of 3130	R% of 3131	11.8% of 3127	4.9% of 3127	R% of 3113	R% of 3117	1.8% of 3097	0.6% of 3124
	Male	4.0% of 1793	R% of 1795	R% of 1793	10.1% of 1794	4.8% of 1793	R% of 1780	R% of 1780	2.1% of 1770	1.4% of 1787
	Overall	3.1% of 4923	R% of 4925	R% of 4924	11.2% of 4921	4.8% of 4920	0.6% of 4893	0.4% of 4897	1.9% of 4867	0.9% of 4911

R: Redacted

Table 31 is continued overleaf ...

Table 31 continued: Prevalence of a severe to very severe problem in a given HoNOS domain by age band and gender

Age Band (years)	Gender	HoNOS domain "Severe" to "Very severe problem"								
		Problems with activities of daily living	Problems with living conditions	Problems with occupation and activities	Strong unreasonable beliefs occurring in non-psychotic disorders only	Agitated behaviour / expansive mood (historical)	Repeat self-harm (historical)	Safeguarding children and vulnerable dependent adults (historical)	Engagement (historical)	Vulnerability (historical)
All Ages	Female	3.4% of 9954	1.1% of 9885	2.6% of 9913	1.0% of 9749	3.5% of 9724	1.9% of 9692	1.1% of 9441	1.9% of 9616	4.1% of 9517
	Male	3.1% of 6952	1.9% of 6895	3.1% of 6929	0.9% of 6829	6.4% of 6786	1.9% of 6762	1.1% of 6553	3.1% of 6703	3.4% of 6632
	Overall	3.3% of 16906	1.4% of 16780	2.8% of 16842	1.0% of 16578	4.7% of 16510	1.9% of 16454	1.1% of 15994	2.4% of 16319	3.8% of 16149
29 and under	Female	1.6% of 1500	1.4% of 1481	2.0% of 1495	2.1% of 1490	3.5% of 1463	4.3% of 1471	1.5% of 1433	1.3% of 1426	4.0% of 1440
	Male	1.8% of 979	2.8% of 972	4.4% of 976	1.9% of 971	10.5% of 971	3.2% of 970	1.3% of 945	4.0% of 951	4.2% of 949
	Overall	1.7% of 2479	1.9% of 2453	3.0% of 2471	2.0% of 2461	6.3% of 2434	3.9% of 2441	1.4% of 2378	2.4% of 2377	4.1% of 2389
30 to 49	Female	1.7% of 2460	1.3% of 2435	2.7% of 2442	1.7% of 2423	6.0% of 2400	3.0% of 2377	2.6% of 2336	2.7% of 2364	6.5% of 2365
	Male	2.2% of 1950	2.7% of 1928	3.2% of 1934	1.2% of 1929	9.6% of 1901	2.5% of 1886	1.8% of 1815	6.1% of 1866	5.1% of 1859
	Overall	1.9% of 4410	1.9% of 4363	2.9% of 4376	1.5% of 4352	7.6% of 4301	2.8% of 4263	2.2% of 4151	4.2% of 4230	5.8% of 4224
50 to 74	Female	3.5% of 2864	0.9% of 2847	2.7% of 2853	0.9% of 2817	4.4% of 2799	1.5% of 2783	0.7% of 2731	2.7% of 2783	5.2% of 2756
	Male	3.4% of 2233	1.6% of 2209	3.0% of 2230	0.7% of 2193	6.0% of 2164	2.2% of 2151	1.0% of 2100	2.5% of 2144	3.8% of 2119
	Overall	3.4% of 5097	1.2% of 5056	2.8% of 5083	0.8% of 5010	5.1% of 4963	1.8% of 4934	0.8% of 4831	2.6% of 4927	4.6% of 4875
75 and over	Female	5.7% of 3130	0.9% of 3122	2.6% of 3123	R% of 3019	0.7% of 3062	R% of 3061	R% of 2941	R% of 3043	1.3% of 2956
	Male	4.5% of 1790	1.0% of 1786	2.4% of 1789	R% of 1736	1.1% of 1750	R% of 1755	R% of 1693	R% of 1742	0.8% of 1705
	Overall	5.2% of 4920	1.0% of 4908	2.5% of 4912	R% of 4755	0.9% of 4812	0.3% of 4816	R% of 4634	0.6% of 4785	1.1% of 4661

R: Redacted